

## **Taming the Beloved Beast: How Medical Technology Costs are Destroying Our Health Care System**

by Daniel Callahan; Princeton, New Jersey,  
Princeton University Press, 2009, 288 pages, \$29.95

## **Still Broken: Understanding the U.S. Health Care System**

by Stephen M. Davidson; Stanford, California,  
Stanford University Press, 2010, 304 pages, \$27.95

Leslie R. Pyenson, M.D., F.A.C.P.

Reading the title of *Taming the Beloved Beast*, I cautiously wondered whether its central theme would be a diatribe against medical innovation and progress. However, the author, Daniel Callahan, is a senior researcher and president emeritus of the Hastings Center, a nonpartisan bioethics research institute that he cofounded in 1969, and the author of many books and articles on ethics and health policy. This book reflects the author's expertise not only as a researcher but also as a philosopher. He presents his arguments, discusses alternatives, and anticipates counterarguments, all with ample citations.

Relying on a number of studies, Callahan presents evidence that 50% of the annual increase in health care costs results from new technologies, the intensified use of old ones, or a combination. Moreover, he maintains that the U.S. annual rate of inflation of health care costs, about 7%, is not sustainable and must be reduced to about 3%. In order to support this proposition, Callahan notes that the United States currently spends 16% of its gross domestic product (GDP) on health care, compared with the 8%–10% allocated by other developed countries. He asserts that the constant introduction of new technology has failed to generate a commensurate, cost-effective improvement in treatment of disease, quality of life, or life expectancy. Essentially, Callahan suggests that

the significant influence of technological, pharmaceutical, and private insurance interests on Congress has quashed any legislative efforts to control health care costs or to assess the effectiveness of new treatments.

Callahan purports that "There is no moral obligation or imperative to continually improve the general health of populations already at historic high levels. But we can, and should, work to improve the general health of populations . . . [which] are at much lower levels." His proposed solution rests on three main points, which he acknowledges may not be attainable: restrain the development of technology, establish a government-regulated universal health care program for cost control, and provide the highest benefits for children, which would remain high during midlife and then taper on the basis of the individual's remaining quality-adjusted life years (QALYs).

Callahan likely views the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), passed in March 2010, six months after this book's publication, as an inadequate solution. The legislation addresses some of his concerns: establishment of an institute for comparative effectiveness research, a program for the uninsured, and estimated reductions of the federal deficit of \$143 billion from 2010 to 2019 and of a trillion dollars over 20 years, according to the Congressional Budget Office (1). However, the PPACA does not mandate Callahan's Orwellian approach. Nevertheless, this book will engage readers seeking to gain insight on health care reform and cost control from the perspective of a pragmatic philosopher.

*Still Broken*, written by Stephen M. Davidson during the Congressional

debate on health care reform, was published shortly after the passage of the PPACA. Davidson, professor of strategy and policy at Boston University, has researched our health care system for over 35 years and published widely. In *Still Broken*, he deviates from his stance in his other works by taking a position on controversial policy questions. He illustrates the evolution of our current health care system and, rather than debate its inadequacies, focuses on presenting evidence-based solutions. These solutions concentrate on correcting the most commonly mentioned systemic problems: high cost, limitations on access to care, quality of care, and safety issues. Furthermore, advances in medical science and technology, with their increasing potential benefits, have resulted in a growing complexity of health care delivery. Like Callahan, Davidson points out that despite its disproportionately higher spending on health care, there is no measurable indication that health care in the United States is superior to that of other developed countries. Davidson emphasizes the dynamic forces—economic, sociologic, and clinical—that have played a central role in the development of these inadequacies and honestly confronts the political strategies needed to pass a health care bill—a topic not often discussed in policy-oriented books.

The author believes that a set of separate dysfunctional incentives (profit, coinsurance, copayment, deductibles, reimbursement policy, and so on) for employers, insurers, individuals, providers, and government drives our current suboptimal system. These incentives motivate decisions that inappropriately affect utilization and, when combined, act to limit access, decrease quality of care, and increase costs.

Davidson maintains that a change in the overall incentive structure is imperative; this change is best achieved through a mandatory, comprehensive health insurance program that provides access to all. Within that construct, he proposes a plan that provides positive incentives, empha-

---

Dr. Pyenson, former chief of medical and psychological analysis at the Central Intelligence Agency, spent many years caring for patients in domestic and international settings. He is currently a clinical consultant with SpecTal, Reston, Virginia.

sizing, for example, the electronic sharing of information, the use of appropriate and evidence-based technologies and treatments, and the promotion of prevention, aspects of which are part of the new PPACA. In his view, these revised incentives would lead individuals, providers, insurers, and government to make decisions benefiting themselves and society. His plan would ultimately lower medical costs, increase access, and improve quality of care. A recent actuarial study supports Davidson's thesis. Using composite models of best health care practices from locales across the country, actuaries from Milliman demonstrated that, by eliminating waste in our current system, a cost reduction from 16% to 12% of GDP is feasible (2).

The political strategy that Davidson considers necessary to pass a health care reform bill was apparent during the PPACA debate. This strategy in-

cludes a determined effort to counter the criticism of opposition groups, the ability to compromise and address concerns of all interested parties, and a sustained effort to mobilize public opinion.

*Still Broken* is an excellent primer on the U.S. health care system, requirements for reform, and the political maneuvering involved in effecting change.

*The reviewer reports no competing interests.* ♦

## References

1. An Internist's Practical Guide to Understanding Health System Reform. Washington, DC, American College of Physicians, Division of Governmental Affairs and Public Policy, Sept 2010
2. Pyenson B, Fitch K, Goldberg S: Imagining 16% to 12%: A Vision for Cost Efficiency, Improving Healthcare Quality, and Covering the Uninsured. Seattle, Milliman, Feb 2009. Available at publications.milliman.com/research/health-rr/pdfs/imagining-16-12-RR02-01-09.pdf

## Clinical Manual of Psychopharmacology in the Medically Ill

edited by Stephen J. Ferrando, M.D., James L. Levenson, M.D., and James A. Owen, Ph.D.; Washington, D.C., American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc., 2010, 640 pages, \$75

David Gitlin, M.D.

Over the past 20 to 30 years, there has been a massive proliferation in the pharmacologic armamentarium for virtually all medical and surgical illnesses. Although medical schools have stepped up training in pharmacology, most physicians are increasingly overwhelmed by the knowledge base necessary to keep track of all the medications available. Psychiatrists manage to develop expertise in the appropriate use of psychotropic medications to treat psychiatric illnesses but struggle to keep current with the pharmacotherapy of most medical and surgical conditions. Likewise, internists and surgeons are proficient in the use of pharmacotherapies in their own specialties

but often lack essential knowledge about the use of psychotropic medications. And for all providers, understanding of the potential for interactions between the several classes of drugs is often beyond reach.

Entering the fray is the *Clinical Manual of Psychopharmacology in the Medically Ill*, a text that aims to provide a single reference for both general physicians and psychiatrists. To the credit of the editors, the book comes quite close to hitting its mark.

The text is divided into two main sections. The first is focused on general principles of psychopharmacology, which provide a context for understanding psychotropic medication issues in medical populations. The first two chapters on drug-versus-drug interactions and severe drug reactions are well organized but can be found in many other general psychiatry

texts. For hospital-based physicians, the chapter on alternate routes of drug administration is useful and informative, providing some options for the complicated issue of patients unable to take oral medications because of medical circumstances.

The second section is organized around organ systems. These chapters discuss common medical illnesses of each particular organ that may have neuropsychiatric sequelae or in which psychotropic treatments may play some role, adverse psychiatric effects of the typical medications used to treat those illnesses, the impact of psychotropic medications on the organ system under discussion, and finally a detailed review of medical-psychotropic drug interactions particular to that organ. Each chapter provides a series of easily referenced, organized tables of the relevant medications used for the organ system and drug-drug interactions. All chapters end with a summary of key clinical points, most of which are somewhat too general to be of significant value.

Several of the chapters are especially beneficial to providers considering the complex interactions of a specific illness and its impact on the psychotropic treatment of patients. The chapter on gastrointestinal (GI) disorders, for example, discusses common disorders such as esophageal reflux and the potential indications for psychotropics, GI disorders on the interface of psychiatry (such as irritable bowel syndrome), GI side effects of psychotropic medications, and several important interactions. Special attention is given to patients with hepatic insufficiency, the pharmacologic impact of gastric bypass surgery, and antidepressant treatment issues with interferon-alpha hepatitis C treatment. The chapters on neurologic and endocrine disorders are equally superb. There is also an excellent discussion in the cardiology chapter on sudden death and QT prolongation due to psychotropic medications. Conversely, some of the chapters are a bit limited in the discussion of organ-specific illnesses, particularly the chapter on rheumatologic disorders.

*Dr. Gitlin is director of medical psychiatry at Brigham and Women's-Faulkner Hospitals, Boston.*