

Preventing Homelessness Through the National Call Center for Homeless Veterans: Analysis of Calls and Service Referrals

Jack Tsai, Ph.D., M.S.C.P., Ann Elizabeth Montgomery, Ph.D., Dorota Szymkowiak, Ph.D.

Objective: This study was the first to examine the characteristics and referral outcomes for veterans calling the National Call Center for Homeless Veterans (NCCHV).

Methods: The authors analyzed data from NCCHV and U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) health care records.

Results: Between December 2018 and October 2020, the NCCHV received 266,100 messages, with no major increase in the first 6 months of the COVID-19 pandemic. Of 110,197 veterans who contacted NCCHV, 69.6% were at risk for homelessness, and 20.1% were homeless. Most contacts

(90.2%) resulted in a referral or transfer to a local resource. About 59.5% of NCCHV veterans had a medical record in the Veterans Health Administration; their use of homeless programs increased from 25.9% to 81.3%. Uses of mental health services, substance use treatment, and medical services showed small-to-moderate increases after NCCHV contacts.

Conclusion: NCCHV is important for linking veterans to health and social care. Additional work is needed to assess veterans' outcomes after an NCCHV contact.

Psychiatric Services 2023; 74:316–319; doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.20220124

The U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) established the National Call Center for Homeless Veterans (NCCHV) in December 2009 and began operations in March 2010. The NCCHV provides 24/7 access to trained responders offering information, referrals, and linkages to a variety of health care and social services—both within the VA and through local community resources—for veterans who are experiencing homelessness or are at risk for homelessness. After a veteran contacts the center, NCCHV responders offer an electronic referral to homeless programs of the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) at their local medical facilities. NCCHV contacts include veterans, their family members, and VA or non-VA providers. Veterans do not have to be eligible for or engaged in VA services to access the NCCHV.

There has been no formal research on the use of the NCCHV. The literature on call centers specifically designed to address homelessness and housing instability is also limited. We found one study that assessed the Homelessness Prevention Call Center (HPCC) located in Chicago, which connects callers to short-term financial assistance and referrals for other resources (1, 2). The evaluation of the HPCC found that the system was effective and efficient; although a small minority of callers (6%) received financial assistance, most (70%) received referrals to other services and resources. Referral agencies reported timely connection with callers, and vice versa, including both short wait times for

the initial call and follow-up with providers within several days (2).

Most studies that have explored which individuals contact call centers and what role the call centers may have in addressing the needs of callers have been limited to crisis call centers addressing issues such as suicide and intimate partner violence. Some consensus exists that programs intended to coordinate social services—such as call centers—are important for helping clients to address issues they have (3) and may be associated with reductions in health care costs because they may prevent more serious problems that incur acute care costs (4). Studies of call centers have found that about one-half of callers ultimately follow through on referrals and recommendations provided to them and that about one-quarter of callers do so repeatedly (3). Follow-up care after encounters

HIGHLIGHTS

- The National Call Center for Homeless Veterans (NCCHV) receives on average >50,000 calls from veterans annually.
- About 90% of veterans who contacted NCCHV were referred or transferred to local resources.
- Veterans increased their use of medical and mental health services after contacting NCCHV.

with call centers may be crucial; one multisite study found that providing follow-up care to callers to a suicide prevention crisis hotline resulted in 80% of callers reporting that the intervention prevented their suicide and in 91% of callers reporting that the follow-up care helped to keep them safe (5).

In addition to the NCCHV, the VA is implementing a multiphased effort to prevent and end homelessness among veterans, including improving the quality of homeless services, engaging federal and community partners, and increasing outreach of underserved veterans (6). The VA already offers primary prevention through universal screening for housing instability (7); secondary prevention through rapid rehousing and homelessness prevention for those recently homeless or at risk (8); and tertiary prevention through permanent, supported housing for those with experiences of chronic homelessness and a disabling condition (9). Given the variety of service options and “no wrong door” approach to address homelessness, veterans may follow several pathways, ranging from experiencing homelessness to resolution of their homelessness. For example, one study of pathways to VA service use among veterans experiencing homelessness found that some veterans who initially screened negative for housing instability, and therefore were not connected to homeless prevention services, later reached out to the NCCHV for assistance and were connected to secondary prevention resources (10). The NCCHV is an important, yet understudied, entry point for accessing various services to address housing instability as well as other social determinants of health.

The objective of this study was to examine the background characteristics of veterans who contacted the NCCHV and their problems, analyze the types of service referrals that veterans who contacted the NCCHV received, and compare the VA services that veterans who contacted the NCCHV used in the year before and within the year after their initial contacts with the NCCHV. The results provide needed information about the practices and operations of a national homeless service hotline for the public.

METHODS

The NCCHV provided data for contacts made to the NCCHV and stored within the Microsoft Dynamics Customer Relationship Management software system between November 30, 2018, and October 31, 2020. When an individual contacts the NCCHV, responders are trained to engage the individual and ask a series of scripted questions to assess and collect data on background characteristics, housing status, risk for homelessness, and health and social needs; all data fields may be asked, but individuals who contact the NCCHV may choose not to provide certain information. All VA medical facilities have a designated VHA homeless program point-of-contact (POC) who responds to referrals from the NCCHV and provides follow-up contact and a plan to coordinate appropriate services for the veteran. Information about completed referrals is returned to the NCCHV electronically, indicating the outcome and resolutions of the case.

During the study period, the NCCHV received 266,100 telephone calls and chat messages (approximately 11,570 per month) across regions partnering with >160 U.S. VA medical facilities. Because most help-seeking veterans made calls, we combined calls and chat messages and refer to them here as “contacts.” As detailed in a diagram in an online supplement to this report, our main analysis focused on the samples of total unique veterans ($N=110,197$) and unique veterans who engaged with VHA health care ($N=48,808$).

We merged NCCHV data with VHA medical records for the year before and after veterans’ contact with the NCCHV during the study period. Data from VA medical records contained information on health care and homeless service use stored in the VA’s Corporate Data Warehouse and Homeless Operations Management Evaluation System and included individual veteran identifiers (i.e., names, telephone numbers, and Social Security numbers). Using these merged data, we examined whether veterans were connected to any VA services before and after their NCCHV contact. Because some veterans made multiple contacts with the NCCHV, we retained the date of their first contact during the study period as the index date. We limited these analyses to veterans who made their first NCCHV contact before April 1, 2020, to allow for a full year of observation after their contact ($N=48,808$). To examine changes in NCCHV contacts during the COVID-19 pandemic, we compared the total number of NCCHV contacts and number of unique veterans who contacted the NCCHV 6 months before the COVID-19 pandemic with those 6 months during the pandemic (with March 13, 2020, designated as the start of the pandemic in the United States). Given the large sample sizes involved in the aforementioned analyses, we did not rely only on statistical significance but also examined the change in percentages for meaningfulness of differences. This study was a quality improvement project initiated by the VHA Homeless Program Office in coordination with the NCCHV and was therefore deemed exempt from review by the institutional review board of South Texas VA Health Care System.

RESULTS

Among the total sample of 266,100 NCCHV contacts during the period studied (corresponding to approximately >50,000 calls per year), 61.7% ($N=164,203$) were from veterans themselves, 5.9% ($N=15,757$) from VA staff members, 5.9% ($N=15,593$) from community providers, 3.0% ($N=8,005$) from veteran spouses, 1.9% ($N=4,943$) from friends, and the remaining from interested third parties, siblings, parents, and others. Regarding contacts’ locations, most (87.2%, $N=231,970$) were made from cell phones, 9.1% ($N=24,285$) by Web Chat visitors, 3.0% ($N=8,108$) from community or government agencies, and <1% ($N=1,727$) from some other location (e.g., hotel, pay phone, or shelter). The total number of NCCHV contacts in the 6 months before the COVID-19 pandemic was 38,147 (involving 26,875 unique individuals), and the total number of NCCHV contacts in the first 6 months of the COVID-19 pandemic was 35,085 (24,575 unique individuals).

Among 266,100 NCCHV contacts, 110,197 involved unique veterans. On the basis of these unique veteran contacts and the NCCHV responders' assessment of the veterans' current housing situations, 20.1% (N=22,189) were recorded as homeless, 69.6% (N=76,732) as at risk for homelessness, 5.1% (N=5,669) as not homeless or at risk, 2.2% (N=2,370) as not homeless or risk unknown, and 3.5% (N=3,819) were missing a designation. Among veterans who made multiple contacts to the NCCHV, the mean length of time between calls was 81 days. Half (50.0%, N=55,107) of the veterans were ages 30–59 years, and only 9.6% (N=10,571) were ages <30 years, and 15.4% (N=17,017) were >70 years. Most veterans identified as male, with 13.1% (N=14,461) identifying as female (see first table of the online supplement). Many veterans reported receiving public support income (e.g., Social Security, Supplemental Security Insurance [SSI], or VA disability and pension), with 35.9% (N=39,577) reporting SSI and 13.7% (N=15,122) reporting VA disability or VA pension. In addition, veterans reported several legal concerns, most frequently eviction (7.6% N=8,381) and child support issues (4.7%, N=5,147).

As shown in the second table in the online supplement, nearly all contacts from unique veterans (90.2%, N=99,371) resulted in a referral and in the veteran being transferred to a local homeless POC. The second most common outcome, for about 7.1% (N=7,847) of veterans, was responding to counseling during the contact and not requiring further intervention. All other outcomes were very rare, including disconnected contacts, contacts refusing referrals, or transfers to the suicide hotline.

Examination of VHA medical records revealed that of the 110,197 unique veterans who contacted the NCCHV, 65,587 (59.5%) had a VHA medical record. The age and gender compositions of this subsample were similar to those of the overall sample of veterans who contacted the NCCHV. Additional data gleaned from VHA medical records revealed that the race-ethnicity breakdown of the subsample of VHA users was 41.5% (N=27,241) non-Hispanic White, 39.2% (N=25,691) non-Hispanic Black, 8.1% (N=5,305) Hispanic, and 4.0% (N=2,648) mixed or other race.

Table 1 compares the use of VHA homeless and health care services among veterans during the year before and after their initial contact with the NCCHV (N=48,808 with a full year of follow-up data). Of veterans who contacted the NCCHV and had a VHA medical record, 25.9% had used a VHA homeless program the year before their NCCHV contact, a proportion that increased to 81.3% within a year post-NCCHV contact. We noted major increases in the use of all individual VHA Homeless Programs after an NCCHV contact. In addition, 78.4% of veterans who contacted the NCCHV and were VHA users accessed VHA health care services during the year before their NCCHV contact, a proportion that increased to 93.5% within the year post-NCCHV contact. Across individual health care services, the greatest increases were in outpatient medical care (76.8%–93.1%) and mental health care (43.3%–51.4%).

DISCUSSION

In this first study of the NCCHV, we found that the NCCHV received on average >50,000 contacts from veterans annually seeking assistance and services to address housing instability and homelessness. We did not detect an increase in NCCHV contacts during the first 6 months of the COVID-19 pandemic. Notably, most contacts to the NCCHV were made by veterans experiencing an increased risk for homelessness, indicating the importance of the NCCHV as a resource for homelessness prevention. As described previously (6–9), preventing homelessness among veterans is a policy area of particular importance to the VA, and services offered through the NCCHV appear to be, at least in part, addressing this need.

Many contacts to the NCCHV resulted in a referral to services, although 40.5% (N=44,610) did not have a linked VHA medical record 1 year after their first NCCHV contact, so we could not assess their receipt of VHA services. Several reasons may explain why veterans did not use VHA services after a referral. For example, a veteran's issues may have been resolved during the NCCHV contact, and no follow-up was needed from the veteran's perspective, a scenario that is somewhat consistent with research on a national suicide prevention lifeline that found that the most prevalent barrier to service use was the caller's perception of the severity of the problem and belief that the problem could be handled with services (11). Moreover, some NCCHV veterans may have been connected to a non-VHA community provider and received services there rather than through a VHA provider. Finally, another possible reason may be that veterans did not want additional services or that some type of disconnect may have existed among the NCCHV referrals, VHA providers, and the veterans in making a referral to VHA services. We were not able to determine how much of a factor this third scenario might have been or what barriers to services might have played a role, a knowledge gap that deserves future study.

Of note, the results of our main analyses revealed that veterans who contacted the NCCHV and connected to VHA services significantly increased their use of VHA homeless programs within 1 year after their initial NCCHV contact. In addition, we observed small increases in their use of VHA inpatient mental health, substance use, and medical care services along with outpatient substance use services (<5% change) and moderate increases in the use of VHA outpatient and medical care services (>5% change). These findings are encouraging because they suggest that the NCCHV serves as an important “door” in a “no wrong door” approach for connecting veterans to needed services, both within and outside of the VHA. Although we had limited data on the use of specific services to resolve income and civil legal issues that veterans reported, the VHA directly offers or partners with community programs to offer financial assistance, supported employment, and medical-legal partnerships to assist with these issues (8, 12, 13), and veterans can be linked to these services through the NCCHV. Together, these findings underscore the array of VHA-based and community-partnered

homeless, health care, and social services available to veterans who are experiencing homelessness or are at risk for homelessness.

Our study had several limitations. We did not have data on anonymous individuals who contacted the NCCHV, nor did we have data on the use of non-VHA homeless services that veterans may have used. We had only service use data on veterans enrolled in VA care, although it is important to study at-risk veterans who do not use the VA and the barriers to care they experience as well as institutional gaps in coordination or services. Despite these limitations, major strengths of our study were the use of national data from both the NCCHV and the VHA and 1-year follow-up after an NCCHV contact. This initial descriptive study points to many areas for further research, including studies with a longer follow-up period, data collection from non-VHA homeless services, and examination of effective communication and coordination methods provided by NCCHV responders.

CONCLUSIONS

Several lessons may be learned from this descriptive study of the NCCHV that may inform other systems of care. Many individuals at risk for homelessness contacted the NCCHV, even those who had used homeless programs before. Some issues could be rapidly resolved, providing support for some approaches that include homeless diversion and rapid resolution. Most NCCHV contacts were made from cell phones, suggesting the importance of access to technology. Given the importance of technology in modern society and concerns about a digital divide in rural or low-income regions (14), initiatives to provide technology, encourage its uptake, and improve technology literacy may have many positive downstream effects, including preventing homelessness.

AUTHOR AND ARTICLE INFORMATION

National Center on Homelessness Among Veterans, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) (Tsai, Szymkowiak); School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston (Tsai); School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, and Birmingham VA Health Care System, Birmingham (Montgomery). Send correspondence to Dr. Tsai (jack.tsai@uth.tmc.edu).

This work was supported by the Homeless Programs Office of the Veterans Health Administration.

The authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

Received March 3, 2022; revisions received April 8 and May 9, 2022; accepted May 20, 2022; published online July 20, 2022.

REFERENCES

1. Evans WN, Sullivan JX, Wallskog M: The impact of homelessness prevention programs on homelessness. *Science* 2016; 353:694–699
2. George C, Hilvers J, Patel K, et al: Evaluation of the Homelessness Prevention Call Center. Chicago, Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Chicago, 2011

TABLE 1. Veterans Health Administration (VHA) service use among 48,808 veterans in the year before and after initial contact with the NCCHV^a

Service	Year before first contact		Year after first contact	
	N	%	N	%
Any VHA homeless program	12,633	25.9	39,687	81.3
Health care for homeless veterans	8,305	17.0	34,904	71.5
HUD-VASH	4,792	9.8	13,608	27.9
SSVF prevention	1,076	2.2	2,782	5.7
SSVF rapid rehousing	1,835	3.8	6,632	13.6
GPD transitional housing	1,540	3.2	4,256	8.7
Veterans' justice outreach	1,365	2.8	1,647	3.4
Health care for reentry veterans	236	.5	188	.4
Any VHA health care use	38,275	78.4	45,646	93.5
Inpatient mental health	2,218	4.5	2,564	5.3
Inpatient substance use	1,785	3.7	2,039	4.2
Inpatient medical care	3,360	6.9	3,712	7.6
Outpatient mental health	21,142	43.3	25,073	51.4
Outpatient substance use	6,153	12.6	7,522	15.4
Outpatient medical care	37,507	76.8	45,430	93.1

^a Some veterans used multiple services, so the total number in each subcategory may be larger than the overall total of each category. GPD, Grant and Per Diem; HUD-VASH, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development–Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing; NCCHV, National Call Center for Homeless Veterans; SSVF, Supportive Services for Veteran Families.

3. Kalafat J, Gould MS, Munfakh JLH, et al: An evaluation of crisis hotline outcomes. Part 1: nonsuicidal crisis callers. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2007; 37:322–337
4. Pruitt Z, Emechebe N, Quast T, et al: Expenditure reductions associated with a social service referral program. *Popul Health Manag* 2018; 21:469–476
5. Gould MS, Lake AM, Galfalvy H, et al: Follow-up with callers to the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline: evaluation of callers' perceptions of care. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2018; 48:75–86
6. Fudge ML, McDonough D: Secretaries of HUD, VA Joint Statement on Ending Veteran Homelessness. Washington, DC, US Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2021
7. Montgomery AE, Fargo JD, Byrne TH, et al: Universal screening for homelessness and risk for homelessness in the Veterans Health Administration. *Am J Public Health* 2013; 103(suppl 2):S210–S211
8. Nelson RE, Byrne TH, Suo Y, et al: Association of temporary financial assistance with housing stability among US veterans in the supportive services for veteran families program. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021; 4:e2037047
9. Tsai J, Byrne TH: National utilization patterns of Veterans Affairs homelessness programs in the era of housing first. *Psychiatr Serv* 2019; 70:309–315
10. Cusack M, Montgomery AE, Hunt-Johnson N, et al: Making connections: understanding how screening, triage, and referral processes can promote veteran housing stability. *Soc Work Public Health* 2019; 34:483–491
11. Gould MS, Munfakh JLH, Kleinman M, et al: National suicide prevention lifeline: enhancing mental health care for suicidal individuals and other people in crisis. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2012; 42:22–35
12. Tsai J, Middleton M, Villegas J, et al: Medical-legal partnerships at Veterans Affairs medical centers improved housing and psychosocial outcomes for vets. *Health Aff* 2017; 36:2195–2203
13. Davis LL, Kyriakides TC, Suris AM, et al: Effect of evidence-based supported employment vs transitional work on achieving steady work among veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2018; 75:316–324
14. Heaslip V, Richer S, Simkhada B, et al: Use of technology to promote health and wellbeing of people who are homeless: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021; 18:6845