

Special Section on Stigma as a Barrier to Recovery: Introduction

Deborah A. Perlick, Ph.D.

The Surgeon General's 1999 report on mental health (1) determined stigma to be "the most formidable obstacle to future progress in the arena of mental illness and health" and concluded, "For our nation to reduce the burden of mental illness . . . stigma must no longer be tolerated." In keeping with the spirit of that report, the aim of this special section is to elucidate the adverse effects of stigmatization on the recovery of people with mental illness.

In focusing on stigma as a barrier to recovery, we intend to highlight the potential role of stigma both in reducing the effectiveness of efforts at psychosocial rehabilitation—for example, psychopharmacology combined with social skills training—and in impeding the restoration of self-esteem, a sense of purpose, and a better quality of life for persons with mental illness (2–4). Although we cannot address the full range of ways in which stigma potentially limits the prospects of recovery for persons with mental illness, the papers in this section were chosen to represent central areas of concern for persons with mental illness: treatment-adherence behavior, self-esteem management, and social adjustment.

The first paper, by Dr. Sirey and her colleagues (5), examines the impact of perceptions of stigmatization on compliance with medication regimens among persons with major depression in the first three months of psychiatric outpatient treatment. These authors found that higher lev-

els of perceived stigma at the start of treatment predicted poorer compliance with the prescribed antidepressant drug regimen over the next three months, after sociodemographic and clinical characteristics had been controlled for.

In the second paper, Dr. Link and his associates (6) describe how they tested alternative hypotheses to explain temporal associations between perceived stigma and self-esteem among members of a clubhouse that served people with severe and persistent mental illness. Controlling for baseline self-esteem and depression, these authors found that each of two indexes of stigma that were assessed at baseline—a sense of being discriminated against or devalued and social withdrawal because of stigma—was an independent and significant predictor of self-esteem at six and 24 months. By contrast, self-esteem predicted only one of the two stigma variables at the six-month follow-up only.

In the third paper, my colleagues and I (7) evaluate the effect of concerns about stigma on social adaptation among persons with bipolar disorder. We found that concerns about stigma reported during an acute phase of illness were associated with significantly poorer social adjustment seven months later, even after baseline social adaptation and clinical status had been controlled for. This effect was specific to social interaction with individuals outside the family.

In the fourth and final paper, Dr. Struening (8) and his associates dem-

onstrate that concerns about the stigma faced by consumers and their families are pervasive among family members who provide care to persons with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder. These authors addressed these family members' concerns about various forms of devaluation directed toward the patient and toward the family members themselves. They found that the levels of devaluation attributed by caregivers to "most people" were comparable to or higher than the levels of devaluation reported in national surveys of stigmatization of persons with mental illness.

Together, these papers present compelling evidence that concerns about stigma adversely affect the recovery of persons with mental illness. These concerns affect self-esteem and adaptive social functioning outside the family, and they influence the willingness of outpatients to take the medications that their psychiatrists prescribe for them. The effects are enduring and are not limited to one diagnosis. Although studies that compare specific effects of concerns about stigma on patients with differing psychiatric diagnoses might demonstrate an effect of diagnosis, the results of the studies presented in this special section suggest that, broadly defined, concerns about stigma adversely affect the recovery and the lives of persons with major depression, bipolar disorder, or schizophrenia.

Furthermore, it is not only the persons who have these disorders whose lives are affected by concerns about stigma. Their family members also experience stigmatization as relatives of a person with mental illness. Finally, because the subjective distress and well-being of family members influence the outcome of persons with mental illness (9), the family's re-

Dr. Perlick is with the Northeast Program Evaluation Center of the West Haven Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the department of psychiatry of Yale University School of Medicine in New Haven, Connecticut. Send correspondence to her at the Northeast Program Evaluation Center, VA Connecticut Healthcare Systems, 950 Campbell Avenue, West Haven, Connecticut 06516 (e-mail, deborah.perlick@yale.edu).

sponse to stigmatization can be expected also to have an impact on the person who has the illness.

It is important to note that although the papers cite evidence that supports the occurrence of stigmatization of persons with mental illness, the original data collected and presented in all four papers are based on individual judgments of the degree to which persons with mental disorders are devalued or discriminated against in a variety of social roles or contexts—for example, as a teacher of young children or as a prospective spouse. These data should be interpreted as measuring perceptions of or concerns about stigmatization of persons with mental illness rather than the degree to which stigmatization actually occurs in society.

On one hand, the fact that the studies measured perceptions rather than actual stigmatization might be viewed as a limitation of the findings. On the other hand, there is ample evidence from research on social cognition that the ways in which people understand or make sense of external reality are important determinants of both their subjective sense of well-being and their adaptive behavior (10,11). Thus perceptions of stigma may have even greater repercussions for the recovery of persons with mental illness than objective findings related to stigmatization in society, which may or may not apply to individual circumstances.

In presenting this series of papers, we hope to increase the focus of clinicians, researchers, health policy administrators, and the American public on the adverse impact of stigma on persons with mental illness and their families. The findings of the studies reported here suggest that clinicians need to be aware that concerns about stigma may reduce adherence to the medications they prescribe or may delay recovery of self-esteem and adaptive social functioning, even under conditions of optimal psychopharmacologic response.

However, recognition of the adverse impact of stigma is only a first step toward curing the problem. The next steps involve continuing efforts to educate the public to have a more accurate and less prejudiced view of mental illness and to work with per-

sons with mental illness to develop strategies for coping with stigma that do not lead them to avoid social and treatment settings. Because the roots of stigma are deeply embedded in our culture (1), a major research and outreach effort will be required if these goals are to be achieved. ♦

Acknowledgment

Dr. Perlick's work was supported by grant MH-51348 from the National Institute of Mental Health.

References

1. Mental Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC, US Department of Health and Human Services, 1999
2. Lefley H: Impact of consumer and family advocacy movement on mental health services, in *Mental Health Services: A Public Health Perspective*. Edited by Levin BL, Petrila J. New York, Oxford, 1996
3. Anthony WA: Recovery from mental illness: the guiding vision of the mental health service system in the 1990s. *Psychological Rehabilitation Journal* 16:11–24, 1993
4. Deegan PE: Recovery and empowerment for people with psychiatric disabilities. *Journal of Social Work and Health Care* 25: 11–24, 1997
5. Sirey J, Bruce ML, Alexopoulos GS, et al: Perceived stigma and patient-rated severity of illness as predictors of antidepressant drug adherence. *Psychiatric Services* 52: 1615–1620, 2001
6. Link BG, Struening EL, Neese-Todd S, et al: The consequences of stigma for the self-esteem of people with mental illnesses. *Psychiatric Services* 52:1621–1626, 2001
7. Perlick DA, Rosenheck RA, Clarkin JF, et al: Adverse effects of perceived stigma on social adaptation of persons diagnosed with bipolar affective disorder. *Psychiatric Services* 52:1627–1632, 2001
8. Struening EL, Perlick DA, Link BG, et al: The extent to which caregivers believe most people devalue consumers and their families. *Psychiatric Services* 52:1633–1638, 2001
9. Perlick DA, Rosenheck R, Clarkin JF, et al: Impact of family burden and patient symptom status on clinical outcome in bipolar affective disorder. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* 189:31–37, 2001
10. Taylor SE, Kemeny ME, Reed GM, et al: Psychological resources, positive illusions, and health. *American Psychologist* 55:99–109, 2000
11. Seligman MEP: *Learned Optimism: How To Change Your Mind and Your Life*, 2nd ed. New York, Pocket Books, 1998

Psychiatric Services Invites Submissions By, About, and For Residents and Fellows

To improve psychiatric training, to highlight the academic work of psychiatric residents and fellows, and to encourage research on psychiatric services by trainees in psychiatry, *Psychiatric Services* is introducing a new feature—a continuing series of articles by, about, and for trainees. Submissions should address issues in residency education. They may also report research conducted by residents on the provision of psychiatric services.

Avram H. Mack, M.D., will serve as the first editor of this series. Prospective authors—current residents, fellows, and faculty members—seeking advice about the appropriateness of a topic should contact Dr. Mack at the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Unit 74, New York, New York 10032; avram_mack@hotmail.com.

All submissions will be peer reviewed, and accepted papers will be highlighted. For information about formatting and submission, see Information for Contributors in the August issue, pages 1119–1120, or visit the journal's Web site at www.psychiatryonline.org. Click on the cover of *Psychiatric Services* and scroll down to Information for Authors.