

# Risk Factors Associated With Child Protective Services Involvement Among Parents With a Serious Mental Illness

Laysha Ostrow, Ph.D., Katy Kaplan, Ph.D., Yaara Zisman-Ilani, Ph.D., Eugene Brusilovskiy, M.U.S.A., Carina Smith, B.S., Mark S. Salzer, Ph.D.

**Objective:** People with serious mental illnesses are as likely to be parents as people in the general population but are much more likely to have contact with child protective services (CPS) and experience an out-of-home placement of their children. This study sought to identify risk factors for CPS involvement among parents with serious mental illnesses.

**Methods:** Parents with a serious mental illness were identified through a national, representative survey. Data from a follow-up interview were used to compare characteristics of parents who had a CPS contact (N=36) with those who did not (N=38). The interview assessed demographic and health characteristics, social support, traumatic life events, and other general risk factors for CPS involvement.

**Results:** Compared with parents without CPS contact, parents with a CPS contact were more likely to be nonwhite and to be less educated. They were also more likely to have less

attachment-related social support, more parenting-related needs in numerous areas, and more substance use-related issues and to have experienced adverse childhood and traumatic events. One-quarter of the parents with CPS contact reported not having a mental disorder diagnosis at the time of the first contact, and those in the CPS group were less likely to have taken medications at the time of the first contact than were parents who did not have a CPS contact.

**Conclusions:** Results suggest a need for policies, programs, and practices that attend to common risk factors associated with CPS involvement that are present in the general population rather than concentrating efforts on addressing behavioral health factors specific to parents with serious mental illness.

*Psychiatric Services* 2021; 72:370–377; doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.202000036

A recent national study found that parenting rates among individuals with a diagnosis of a serious mental illness are similar to those in the general population (69% and 71%, respectively) (1). Yet individuals with a serious mental illness are eight times more likely to have contact with child protective services (CPS) and 26 times more likely to have their children removed from their home than those without a psychiatric diagnosis. Mental health issues are typically viewed as a primary risk factor for child maltreatment (2, 3), supporting a common perception that equates the presence of a psychiatric diagnosis with inadequate parenting. But to what extent is this an illusory belief that is drawing attention—and resources—away from other causes?

Poverty and unemployment (4–6), lack of affordable housing, lack of access to health care, community violence, social isolation, lack of social support, substance abuse, and criminal involvement (4, 7–10), along with low levels of general health and challenges due to managing a chronic illness (11–13), are

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Parents with a serious mental illness who had contact with child protective services (CPS) had more common risk factors for such contacts than parents with a serious mental illness who did not have CPS contact.
- Parents who had CPS contact were more likely to be nonwhite and to have less education; they were also more likely to have less attachment-related social support and more parenting-related needs in numerous areas and to have experienced traumatic events.
- Parents who had CPS contact also reported more issues related to substance use and were less likely to have a mental disorder diagnosis and to have taken prescribed psychiatric medications at the time of CPS contact.
- Results suggest a need for policies, programs, and practices that attend to common factors that increase risk for CPS contact in the general population and not just a need to focus on behavioral health factors among parents who have a serious mental illness.

all clearly associated with CPS involvement. Parents with psychiatric diagnoses are known to be disproportionately affected by these factors (12, 14–16). Findings from previous studies suggest that after controlling for some of these factors, the role of mental illness in predicting CPS involvement is significantly diminished (15, 17, 18). This study extends this line of work to explore how such factors, along with mental health and substance use factors, relate to CPS involvement, which can result in a wide range of outcomes, including custody loss. The goal of this study was to further aid the development of effective supports for parents with serious mental illness.

## METHODS

### Procedures and Sample

Respondents for this study were identified from a nationally known survey, Truven Health PULSE Survey, involving a geographically stratified random sample of English-speaking adults in the United States. Questions were added to the survey to identify the presence of a serious mental illness via two criteria. The first was a diagnosis of major depression, bipolar disorder, manic depression, schizophrenia, or schizoaffective disorder. The second was impairment in the respondent's lifetime due to the diagnosis. Data from 42,761 unique individuals ages 18–65 years who were interviewed between September 2014 and December 2015 were used in the present study. Estimates of serious mental illness from this study were similar to those found in other national studies (19).

In total, 2,407 individuals with a serious mental illness consented to be contacted, and 896 were contacted. One-half of this group (N=447) were not interested in participating in the study, and 375 had no children, could not be contacted again for the interview, or received a diagnosis after their children were adults. Data used in this study came from phone interviews conducted with the remaining 74 individuals. An enrollment flow chart is available as an online supplement to this article. The CPS sample consisted of 36 parents who reported a CPS contact, and the sample with no reported CPS contact consisted of the remaining 38 parents. The study received approval from the institutional review board of Temple University.

### Measures

The survey included basic demographic questions, along with questions about psychiatric diagnosis, employment, income, housing status, and criminal history, as well as the measures described below. The survey responses of the parents in the CPS sample were based on their situation at the time of the first CPS contact, and those without CPS contact responded according to their current experience if they currently had a child <18 years old or according to the last point at which they had been parenting a minor child.

*Life Events Checklist for DSM-5.* The Life Events Checklist for DSM-5 (20) is a 17-item tool that screens individuals for

potentially traumatic events in their lifetime. For each item, the individual checks one of the following response options: “happened to me,” “witnessed it,” “learned about it,” “part of my job,” “not sure,” or “does not apply.” The total number of events for which respondents checked “happened to me” or “witnessed it” was calculated and recorded.

*Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey.* The Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) uses a 19-item instrument that assesses four areas of social support: tangible, affectionate, emotional/informational, and positive social interactions. The composite score is calculated as the average of all items. An earlier study reported that the MOS has high reliability and convergent and discriminant validity (21).

*Unmet needs.* Participants were asked about their needs in 30 different areas related to parenting and living in the community. These areas included various aspects of child rearing, skills, services, supports, or accommodations that are helpful to parents. Respondents indicated whether help in any of these areas was needed and whether it has been offered or used (22). The composite measure of unmet needs was calculated as the proportion of all areas in which a respondent reported that help was needed. The proportion of areas in which help was needed and where help was received was also computed.

*Healthy Families Parenting Inventory.* Four of the nine Healthy Families Parenting Inventory (HFPI) domains related to parenting were used: parent/child interaction, home environment, personal care, and mobilizing resources (20). Each of the items (for example, “I find ways to care for myself,” “I know where to find resources for my family,” “I read to my child,” etc.) was rated on a five-point scale on which 1 indicated “rarely or never” and 5 indicated “always or most of the time.” Scores for each HFPI domain were calculated as sums of constituent items.

*CAGE-AID.* The CAGE-AID consists of four items to assess individuals' substance use. A composite score ranging from 0 to 4 (denoting low to high) was calculated for each individual by summing the four items. The CAGE-AID has concurrent validity and adequate psychometric validity (23, 24).

*Adverse childhood experiences.* The adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) measure contains 10 yes-or-no questions to assess adverse childhood experiences in three different categories: household dysfunction, neglect, and abuse. The total number of adverse experiences was calculated for each participant. The psychometric properties of ACEs have been examined, and the measure has been found to be valid and reliable (25, 26).

*Parental Stress Scale.* The Parental Stress Scale includes 18 items related to positive (for example, self-enrichment and emotional benefits) and negative (restrictions and

**TABLE 1. Demographic characteristics of parents with serious mental illness with or without contact with child protective services (CPS)<sup>a</sup>**

Characteristic	CPS contact				p
	Yes (N=36)		No (N=38)		
	N	%	N	%	
Gender					.084
Female	30	83	25	66	
Male	6	17	13	34	
Race-ethnicity <sup>b</sup>					.188
White only	28	78	33	89	
Black	5	15	0	—	
Latino or Hispanic	2	6	0	—	
Native American	2	6	2	6	
Asian	1	3	0	—	
Other	1	3	2	6	
Relationship status <sup>c</sup>					
Single or never married	18	51	18	47	.729
Married	15	47	19	51	.711
Had significant other	12	33	15	40	.583
Education <sup>d</sup>					.004
Less than high school	11	31	3	8	
High school or GED	9	25	4	11	
More than high school	16	44	31	82	
Employed full-time <sup>d</sup>	16	44	13	35	.416
Personal income (M±SD) <sup>d</sup>	1,076±1,126		1,501±1,344		.151
Household income (M±SD) <sup>d</sup>	1,835±1,558		2,540±1,583		.071
Number of times arrested in lifetime (M±SD)	2.5±4.3		.6±1.4		.018

<sup>a</sup> Means were compared with t tests, and proportions were compared with chi-square tests.

<sup>b</sup> Participants could select more than one racial category. The statistical significance is for a comparison between white only and all nonwhite categories.

<sup>c</sup> At the time the respondent first became a parent.

<sup>d</sup> For parents with CPS contact, responses reflect the time of first CPS contact; for parents with no CPS contact, responses reflect the time of the interview.

demands) aspects of parenthood. Each of the items is rated on a 5-point Likert scale on which 1 indicates strongly disagree and 5 indicates strongly agree. The scale has good levels of internal consistency and test-retest reliability, as well as satisfactory convergent validity and discriminant validity (27).

## Analyses

Independent samples t tests and chi-square tests were used to assess whether parents with or without CPS involvement statistically significantly differed on any of the measures considered. SAS version 9.4 was used for these analyses.

## RESULTS

### Demographic Differences Between Groups

Table 1 reports the demographic characteristics of parents with (N=36) and without (N=38) CPS involvement. The two groups did not statistically significantly differ in gender, marital or relationship status, household income, or employment status. Most respondents were white, and the few Black, Latino/Hispanic, and Asian parents were all in

the CPS group. Respondents in the CPS group had significantly more arrests. The group without CPS contact had a greater number of individuals with more than a high school education than the CPS group.

### Mental Health and Substance Use Characteristics

Data on the mental health and substance use characteristics of the respondents are presented in Table 2 and Table 3. We detected no statistically significant differences in rates of hospitalization for a mental health or emotional problem or history of receiving outpatient counseling or therapy. The parents without CPS contact were more likely to take prescribed psychiatric medications. The CAGE-AID score (mean±SD) was significantly greater in the CPS group (1.97±1.59) than in the group without CPS contact (1.11±1.48) ( $t=2.42$ ,  $df=70.90$ ,  $p<0.02$ ). More parents in the CPS group had

CAGE-AID scores above the clinical threshold of 1, and more parents in the CPS group felt annoyed by people who criticized them for drinking or drug use. All parents required a diagnosis to be enrolled in the study, but a sizable number of parents with CPS involvement (N=9, 25%) did not have a diagnosis at the time of their CPS contact. Of the 27 parents who reported a psychiatric diagnosis in the CPS group, 12 (44%) had a diagnosis of a depressive disorder, eight (30%) had bipolar disorder, three (11%) had schizophrenia, two had (7%) schizoaffective disorder, and two (7%) had other diagnoses. In the group without CPS contact, 22 (58%) individuals had depressive disorder, 12 (32%) had bipolar disorder, two (5%) had schizophrenia, one (3%) had schizoaffective disorder, and one (3%) had another diagnosis.

### Psychosocial Factors

Data from the various psychosocial measures are shown in Table 4. Parents with CPS contacts reported more traumatic life events on the Life Events Checklist and were more likely to have experienced or witnessed an assault with a weapon (67% [N=24] vs. 42% [N=16];  $\chi^2=4.49$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.04$ ), sexual assault (86% [N=31] vs. 55% [N=21];

**TABLE 2. Service use characteristics of parents with serious mental illness with or without contact with child protective services (CPS)<sup>a</sup>**

Characteristic	CPS contact				p
	Yes (N=36)		No (N=38)		
	N	%	N	%	
Hospitalized for a mental health or emotional problem <sup>b</sup>	15	42	23	61	.105
Received outpatient counseling or therapy for a mental health problem <sup>c</sup>	34	94	37	97	.524
Taking prescribed psychiatric medications <sup>d</sup>	16	47	34	90	<.001

<sup>a</sup> Proportions were compared with chi-square tests.

<sup>b</sup> For parents with CPS contact, responses reflect time before first CPS contact; for parents with no CPS contact, responses reflect ever in the respondent's life.

<sup>c</sup> For both groups, responses reflect ever in the respondent's life.

<sup>d</sup> For parents with CPS contact, responses reflect the time of first CPS contact; for parents with no CPS contact, responses reflect the time of the interview. Data on this variable were missing for two parents in the CPS group.

$\chi^2=8.42$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), or other unwanted or uncomfortable sexual experience (69% [N=25] vs. 45% [N=17];  $\chi^2=4.60$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.04$ ) and were more likely to have witnessed sudden violent death (44% [N=16] vs. 21% [N=8];  $\chi^2=4.62$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.04$ ) or sudden accidental death (33% [N=12] vs. 13% [N=5];  $\chi^2=4.25$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.04$ ) than the parents without CPS contact. The two groups did not differ significantly on the overall ACEs and MOS scores, but the individuals in the group without CPS contact were more likely to endorse the following items from the MOS survey: "someone to show you love and affection" ( $t=-2.87$ ,  $df=70$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), "someone to love and make you feel wanted" ( $t=-2.9$ ,  $df=70$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), and "someone who hugs you" ( $t=-2.88$ ,  $df=66$ ,  $p<0.01$ ).

The two groups did not differ significantly on the HFPI subscales, the Parenting Stress Scale, or composite unmet needs measures. Compared with those without CPS contact, the individuals with CPS contact reported needing more help in several individual areas, including child development (61% [N=22] vs. 29% [N=11];  $\chi^2=7.74$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), child safety (17% [N=6] vs. 3% [N=1];  $\chi^2=4.43$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.04$ ), foster care (15% [N=5] vs. 0% [N=0];  $\chi^2=6.01$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.02$ ), supported housing (25% [N=9] vs. 5% [N=2];  $\chi^2=5.69$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.02$ ), and transportation (42% [N=15] vs. 16% [N=6];  $\chi^2=6.09$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p<0.02$ ).

## DISCUSSION

This study identified common risk factors of CPS involvement among parents with a serious mental illness. The parents with CPS contact were on average less educated, a finding consistent with those of other studies reporting significant associations between both maternal (28) and

**TABLE 3. CAGE-AID substance use variables among parents with serious mental illness with or without contact with child protective services (CPS)<sup>a</sup>**

Substance use variable	CPS contact				p
	Yes (N=36)		No (N=38)		
	N	%	N	%	
Ever felt that you should cut down on your drinking or drug use	21	58	15	40	.105
People ever annoyed you by criticizing your drinking or drug use	16	44	7	18	.016
Ever felt bad or guilty about your drinking or drug use	20	56	13	34	.065
Ever had a drink or used drugs first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover	14	39	7	18	.051
CAGE-AID score above clinical threshold (≥1)	26	72	16	42	.009

<sup>a</sup> Proportions were compared with chi-square tests.

paternal (29) educational attainment and risk for CPS contact among parents in the general population. Race and ethnicity were associated with CPS contact; all of the Black, Latino/Hispanic, and Asian parents were in the CPS group. These disparities are seen in national data as well, where a disproportionate percentage of children in foster care are Black (23%) (30).

An arrest record was also associated with CPS contact. In addition to charges that may warrant a CPS involvement, single parents with limited family networks or supports may lose custody of their children if no one is able to care for them. Once parents are incarcerated, it can be difficult for them to remain in contact with their children, and under the Adoption and Safe Families Act, the timeline to reunify is not adjusted to account for incarceration and reentry services, which often lack a family focus needed for successful reunification (31). In addition, a history of criminal justice involvement can affect the ability to find work and housing, because questions about an arrest history are allowable on applications, and difficulties finding work and housing also affect parenting outcomes.

Previous research has found that exposure to stressful life events can affect parenting (11, 15). This study did not find differences between the two groups on the ACEs measure, but both groups averaged a score higher than 4, which is noteworthy in light of the fact that only 12.5% of the U.S. population have a score greater than 4 (32). The relatively frequent experience of adverse events among all parents with a serious mental illness may have limited our ability to detect differences in the total number of adverse experiences between the two groups. Nonetheless, the parents with CPS contact were more likely to report certain events,

**TABLE 4. Psychosocial variables among parents with serious mental illness with or without contact with child protective services (CPS)<sup>a</sup>**

Psychosocial variable	CPS contact				p
	Yes (N=36)		No (N=38)		
	N	%	N	%	
Life Events Checklist for <i>DSM-5</i> (M±SD) <sup>b</sup>	7.9±3.6		6.1±2.5		.018
Number of adverse childhood experiences (M±SD) <sup>c</sup>	5.4±2.2		4.7±2.5		.260
Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey (M±SD) <sup>d,e</sup>	2.8±1.2		3.1±.9		.215
Healthy Families Parenting Inventory					.223
Personal Care Subscale (M±SD) <sup>e,f</sup>	15.4±5.0		13.9±5.2		
Mobilizing Resources Subscale (M±SD) <sup>e,g</sup>	16.7±7.7		16.8±6.2		.940
Parent/Child Interaction Index (M±SD) <sup>e,h</sup>	37.4±10.1		37.2±8.1		.945
Home Environment Index (M±SD) <sup>e,i</sup>	37.8±11.2		35.5±9.4		.367
Parental Stress Scale (M±SD) <sup>j,k</sup>	2.4±.6		2.5±.6		.510
Unmet needs					
Proportion of areas in which help was needed (M±SD) <sup>e,l</sup>	.3±.2		.3±.1		.477
Proportion of areas in which help was needed and where help was received (M±SD) <sup>e,m</sup>	.5±.4		.6±.3		.393
Specific areas in which help was needed <sup>e</sup>					
Calendar with appointments identified	12	33	24	63	.010
Child development	22	61	11	29	.005
Child safety	6	17	1	3	.035
Foster care	5	15	0	0	.014
Supported housing	9	25	2	5	.017
Transportation	15	42	6	16	.014
Specific areas in which help was needed and where help was received <sup>e</sup>					
Calendar with appointments identified	6	50	16	67	.334
Child development	12	55	6	55	1.000
Child safety	1	17	1	100	.088
Foster care	4	80	0	–	–
Supported housing	3	33	1	50	.658
Transportation	8	53	3	50	.890
Housing	2	25	4	100	.014
Leisure activities	1	10	8	62	.012
Money management	0	0	4	33	.035

<sup>a</sup> Means were compared with t tests; proportions were compared with chi-square tests.

<sup>b</sup> Possible scores range from 0 to 17, with higher scores indicating higher numbers of stressful events witnessed or experienced.

<sup>c</sup> Possible scores range from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating more adverse childhood experiences.

<sup>d</sup> Possible scores range from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating greater levels of social support.

<sup>e</sup> For parents with CPS contact, responses reflected the time immediately before or at the first CPS contact; for parents with no CPS contact, responses reflected the time of the interview.

<sup>f</sup> Possible scores range from 5 to 25, with higher scores indicating better personal care.

<sup>g</sup> Possible scores range from 6 to 30, with higher scores indicating better ability to mobilize resources.

<sup>h</sup> Possible scores range from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating better parent/child interaction.

<sup>i</sup> Possible scores range from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating a better home environment.

<sup>j</sup> Possible scores range from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating greater parental stress.

<sup>k</sup> For parents with CPS contact, responses reflected the time immediately before the first CPS contact; for parents with no CPS contact, responses reflected stress experienced typically.

<sup>l</sup> Proportions range from 0 to 1, with higher proportions indicating more areas in which help was needed.

<sup>m</sup> Proportions range from 0 to 1, with higher proportions indicating more areas in which help was needed and where help was received.

including having been assaulted with a weapon, having been sexually assaulted, or having had an unwanted or uncomfortable sexual experience. Exposure to these events is associated with experiencing violence later in life and may point to overlapping risk factors for violence, as well as generally poorer health and social outcomes (33, 34).

Although the two groups did not differ on overall social support, the individuals in the CPS group reported less love and acceptance. These statistically significant differences in relationship-related factors may indicate that parents with

CPS contact experience particularly challenging attachment-relationship issues that may also affect parenting quality and may lead to CPS involvement. Such findings point to the need for interventions that target attachment issues and enhance the ability of parents to develop positive relationships with their children. Social media and Internet-based platforms, as well as peer support interventions, may eliminate or reduce feelings of loneliness and elevate a sense of social support and care (35). Overall, it is critical to seek out and connect parents with mental illnesses to parenting resources, including those



that support these parents in developing basic household and childrearing skills (36).

As is the case in the general population (37), substance use was also a factor in CPS involvement in our sample of parents with serious mental illness. The individuals in the CPS group reported more potentially problematic substance use habits, and they particularly were more likely to report that others view their substance use as a problem. Differences in psychiatric service use were apparent; 25% of the CPS group did not have a psychiatric diagnosis at the time of their CPS contact, and plausibly as a result, they were also less likely to take prescribed medications at the time of CPS contact. The lack of a diagnosis among the individuals in the CPS group could reflect several factors, including not being identified as having a need for psychiatric services, not being interested in seeking such services, fear of losing custody of their children if viewed as nonadherent to treatment (38), or not having access to psychiatric services. Not being engaged with the mental health system could increase CPS involvement as a result of untreated symptoms that may affect parenting.

Finally, the CPS group reported several needs related to parenting and child welfare, such as needing help with child development, child safety, and foster care, as well as social determinants such as needing help with transportation and housing. Even for parents engaged in the behavioral health treatment system, support for social needs is often not addressed in service provision for several reasons, including siloed funding streams, lack of available options for transportation and housing, and limited availability of programs that address social needs.

This study had some limitations. All reported results are essentially correlational, and no firm conclusions about causality should be inferred. Surveys are known to result in underrepresentation by race and gender, and this study also excluded those who did not speak English. The groups in this study came from a national survey, which also have such underrepresentation. The groups reported on experiences that may have occurred at different times (that is, current vs. past experiences), which may lead to differences in accuracy about the reported situation. The most significant limitation was that CPS-involved parents were reporting about events that occurred at a very stressful moment in their life, which may lead to a more negative bias in reporting on the situation, including a tendency to report more problems. Some measures for which differences were found, such as the ACEs, Life Events Checklist, and demographic characteristics, are likely less susceptible to this bias. Use of prospective studies would be one way to address this issue in future research. The parents in the two groups may also differ in other unknown ways from parents with mental illnesses who may have been unwilling to discuss these sensitive topics as part of a research study. The sample size in this study was also relatively small and may have led to some analyses being underpowered and a possible lack of generalizability of the results.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study has important implications for future research, interventions, and policies. Similar to findings in other studies pertaining to custody loss (15, 17, 18), ours suggest that risk factors for CPS involvement that affect the general population, such as racism, trauma, substance use, and lack of availability of supports, and that are more prevalent among those with serious mental illnesses, may partially explain the higher rates of CPS involvement we found for parents with serious mental illnesses. Recent legislative mandates, such as Families First and the Integrated Care for Kids grant from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, are focused on changes to funding streams and alternative payment models that allow for addressing these risk factors. These funding opportunities are designed to target these factors in a way that has not been addressed adequately to date. Interventions that target social disadvantages and stressors that disproportionately affect parents with serious mental illnesses—and particularly people of color—could decrease CPS involvement and allow these parents to experience the meaningful benefits of parenting. Such efforts will also likely benefit their children. Efforts to promote positive parenting can improve family stability and child well-being over the long term, because children who live with biological parents have fewer life problems later on, including less substance use (39), teen pregnancy, and unemployment (40).

It is also essential to acknowledge that most parents who have a diagnosis of a serious mental illness are not involved with the child welfare system—64% in one study (1)—although they do experience more social disadvantages than parents without psychiatric diagnoses (11, 41). Future studies should further explore resilience factors among these parents. Perceptions by the behavioral health and child welfare systems of parents with serious mental illnesses as “inadequate or unfit parents” may add to their burden. Often, individuals with mental illnesses are discouraged from taking on normative life roles, such as being a parent or employee, because of concern that doing so would be too stressful for them or beyond their abilities. The treatment and social service systems often miss opportunities to work with parents to view the parenting role as a source of meaning and strength, which may contribute to positive recovery-oriented outcomes (42).

There is a growing recognition of the discrimination that parents with disabilities face when involved with the child welfare system or family courts and of the need for appropriate legal representation (43). The federal government issuance of guidance to all courts and child welfare authorities on their need to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) when working with a parent with a disability recognizes that parenting is a civil right and that parents with disabilities are entitled to accommodations under the ADA (44). The results of this study can be used to inform strategies to

create policy changes for more effective support of these families.

## AUTHOR AND ARTICLE INFORMATION

Live & Learn, Morro Bay, California (Ostrow, Smith); City of Philadelphia and Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Temple University, Philadelphia (Kaplan); Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Temple University, Philadelphia (Zisman-Ilani, Brusilovskiy, Salzer). Send correspondence to Dr. Salzer (mark.salzer@temple.edu).

This study was supported by grant 90RT5021-02-00 from the National Institute on Disability, Independent Living and Rehabilitation Research (NIDILRR). The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration on Community Living, or NIDILRR. Data for this study were partly supplied by Truven Health Analytics. Any analysis, interpretation, or conclusion based on these data is solely that of the authors, and Truven Health Analytics disclaims responsibility for any such analysis, interpretation, or conclusion.

The authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

Received January 22, 2020; revision received April 19, 2020; accepted April 29, 2020; published online September 10, 2020.

## REFERENCES

- Kaplan K, Brusilovskiy E, O'Shea AM, et al: Child protective service disparities and serious mental illnesses: results from a national survey. *Psychiatr Serv* 2019; 70:202–208
- Brockington I, Chandra P, Dubowitz H, et al: WPA guidance on the protection and promotion of mental health in children of persons with severe mental disorders. *World Psychiatry* 2011; 10: 93–102
- Maybery D, Reupert A: Parental mental illness: a review of barriers and issues for working with families and children. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs* 2009; 16:784–791
- Hay T, Jones L: Societal interventions to prevent child abuse and neglect. *Child Welfare* 1994; 73:379–403
- Chaffin M, Kelleher K, Hollenberg J: Onset of physical abuse and neglect: psychiatric, substance abuse, and social risk factors from prospective community data. *Child Abuse Negl* 1996; 20:191–203
- Leventhal JM: Twenty years later: we do know how to prevent child abuse and neglect. *Child Abuse Negl* 1996; 20:647–653
- Brown J, Cohen P, Johnson JG, et al: A longitudinal analysis of risk factors for child maltreatment: findings of a 17-year prospective study of officially recorded and self-reported child abuse and neglect. *Child Abuse Negl* 1998; 22:1065–1078
- Daro D, McCurdy K: Preventing child abuse and neglect: programmatic interventions. *Child Welfare* 1994; 73:405–430
- Wall-Wieler E, Roos LL, Brownell M, et al: Predictors of having a first child taken into care at birth: a population-based retrospective cohort study. *Child Abuse Negl* 2018; 76:1–9
- Wall-Wieler E, Roos LL, Bolton J, et al: Maternal health and social outcomes after having a child taken into care: population-based longitudinal cohort study using linkable administrative data. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2017; 71:1145–1151
- Draine J, Salzer MS, Culhane DP, et al: Role of social disadvantage in crime, joblessness, and homelessness among persons with serious mental illness. *Psychiatr Serv* 2002; 53:565–573
- Nicholson J, Sweeney EM, Geller JL: Mothers with mental illness: I. The competing demands of parenting and living with mental illness. *Psychiatr Serv* 1998; 49:635–642
- Li H, Parish SL, Mitra M, et al: Health of US parents with and without disabilities. *Disabil Health J* 2017; 10:303–307
- Mowbray CT, Oyserman D, Bybee D, et al: Life circumstances of mothers with serious mental illnesses. *Psychiatr Rehabil J* 2001; 25:114–123
- Hollingsworth LD: Child custody loss among women with persistent severe mental illness. *Soc Work Res* 2004; 28:199–209
- Montgomery P, Brown S, Forchuk C: A comparison of individual and social vulnerabilities, health, and quality of life among Canadian women with mental diagnoses and young children. *Women's Health Issues* 21:48–56, 2011
- Ranning A, Munk Laursen T, Thorup A, et al: Serious mental illness and disrupted caregiving for children: a nationwide, register-based cohort study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2015; 76:e1006–e1014
- Westad C, McConnell D: Child welfare involvement of mothers with mental health issues. *Community Ment Health J* 2012; 48: 29–37
- Salzer MS, Brusilovskiy EL, Townley G: National estimates of recovery-remission from serious mental illness. *Psychiatr Serv* 2018; 69:523–528
- Weathers F, Blake D, Schnurr P, et al.: The Life Events Checklist for DSM-5 (LEC-5). Washington, DC, Department of Veterans Affairs, National Center for PTSD, 2013. [https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/assessment/te-measures/life\\_events\\_checklist.asp](https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/assessment/te-measures/life_events_checklist.asp).
- Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL: The MOS social support survey. *Soc Sci Med* 1991; 32:705–714
- Lightfoot E, LaLiberte T, Cho M: Parental supports for parents with disabilities: the importance of informal supports. *Child Welfare* 2018; 96:89–110
- Skogen JC, Øverland S, Knudsen AK, et al: Concurrent validity of the CAGE questionnaire. The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study. *Addict Behav* 2011; 36:302–307
- Couwenbergh C, Van Der Gaag RJ, Koeter M, et al: Screening for substance abuse among adolescents validity of the CAGE-AID in youth mental health care. *Subst Use Misuse* 2009; 44:823–834
- Anda RF, Butchart A, Felitti VJ, et al: Building a framework for global surveillance of the public health implications of adverse childhood experiences. *Am J Prev Med* 2010; 39:93–98
- Dube SR, Williamson DF, Thompson T, et al: Assessing the reliability of retrospective reports of adverse childhood experiences among adult HMO members attending a primary care clinic. *Child Abuse Negl* 2004; 28:729–737
- Berry JO, Jones WH: The parental stress scale: initial psychometric evidence. *J Soc Pers Relat* 1995; 12:463–472
- Ondersma SJ: Predictors of neglect within low-SES families: the importance of substance abuse. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 2002; 72: 383–391
- Lee SJ: Paternal and household characteristics associated with child neglect and child protective services involvement. *J Soc Serv Res* 2013; 39:171–187
- Children's Bureau: The Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) Report. Washington, DC, Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, 2016
- Macomber J: Intentions and Results: A Look Back at the Adoption and Safe Families Act. Washington, DC, Urban Institute, 2009
- About the CDC-Kaiser ACE Study. Atlanta, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016. <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/acestudy/about.html>.
- Ports KA, Ford DC, Merrick MT: Adverse childhood experiences and sexual victimization in adulthood. *Child Abuse Negl* 2016; 51: 313–322
- Wilkins N, Tsao B, Hertz M, et al.: Connecting the Dots: An Overview of the Links Among Multiple Forms of Violence. Atlanta, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and Oakland, CA, Prevention Institute, 2014. <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pub/connecting-dots.html>.
- Brusilovskiy E, Townley G, Snethen G, et al: Social media use, community participation and psychological well-being among

- individuals with serious mental illnesses. *Comput Human Behav* 2016; 65:232–240
36. Seeman MV: Intervention to prevent child custody loss in mothers with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res Treatment* 2012; 2012:796763
  37. Carter V, Myers MR: Exploring the risks of substantiated physical neglect related to poverty and parental characteristics: a national sample. *Child Youth Serv Rev* 2007; 29:110–121
  38. Busch A, Redlich AD: Patients' perception of possible child custody or visitation loss for nonadherence to psychiatric treatment. *Psychiatr Serv* 2007; 58:999–1002
  39. Snyder SM, Smith RE: Do physical abuse, depression, and parental substance use influence patterns of substance use among child welfare involved youth? Substance use misuse. *Subst Use Misuse* 2015; 50:226–235
  40. Doyle JJ Jr: Child protection and child outcomes: measuring the effects of foster care. *Am Econ Rev* 2007; 97:1583–1610
  41. Luciano A, Nicholson J, Meara E: The economic status of parents with serious mental illness in the United States. *Psychiatr Rehabil J* 2014; 37:242–250
  42. Fox L: Missing out on motherhood. *Psych Serv* 1999; 50:193–194
  43. Powell RM, Mitra M, Nicholson J, et al: Perceived community-based needs of low-income parents with psychiatric disabilities who experienced legal challenges to their parenting rights. *Child Youth Serv Rev* 2020; 112:104902
  44. Protecting the Rights of Parents and Prospective Parents with Disabilities: Technical Assistance for State and Local Child Welfare Agencies and Courts under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Washington, DC, Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights Administration for Children and Families; Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section, 2015. [https://www.ada.gov/doj\\_hhs\\_ta/child\\_welfare\\_ta.html](https://www.ada.gov/doj_hhs_ta/child_welfare_ta.html).

## Call for Papers

*Psychiatric Services* welcomes high-quality submissions concerning the delivery and outcomes of mental health services to individuals experiencing mental illnesses of all types across the lifecycle. Submissions are especially welcome in the following topic areas:

- Integration of psychiatric and general medical care
- Criminal justice and psychiatric services
- Suicide prevention
- Effectiveness of peer support interventions (e.g., for substance abuse treatment or for serious mental illness)
- Impact of federal and state policies on the treatment of mental illness
- Cross-national comparisons of care for people with mental illness
- Opioids and mental illness, particularly in public sector populations
- Diversity and health equity
- Prevention of mental illness and early intervention

Submissions will undergo the journal's standard rigorous peer review.

To submit your paper, please visit <https://ps.psychiatryonline.org/> and select Submit.