Emergency Department Use Following Pediatric Psychiatric Hospitalization

Christopher D. King, Ed.M., Victoria W. Joyce, B.A., Carol C. Nash, M.S., Ralph J. Buonopane, Ph.D., Anthony D. Sossong, M.D., M.S., Kerry J. Ressler, M.D., Ph.D.

Objective: Emergency department (ED) use has increased disproportionately for pediatric psychiatric care. This study aimed to identify predictors of ED use within 30 days of discharge from a pediatric psychiatric hospitalization.

Methods: ED use was assessed in the 30 days after discharge. Univariate logistic regression modeling identified predictors of ED use, which were used in subsequent multivariate modeling.

Results: Greater number of trauma types (odds ratio [OR]= 1.92, 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.50-2.45, *z*=2.67, p=0.008), generalized anxiety disorder (OR=3.20, 95% CI=1.78-5.76,

Increased emergency department (ED) use and the negative effects of ED overcrowding are well documented (1, 2). The number of overall ED visits has increased significantly in recent years, and the additional strain at a national level on ED resources, particularly staffing and space, has led to significant ED overcrowding and increases in wait time (3). ED use for psychiatric services is also significantly more costly (4). One contributor to the rise in ED visits overall is the disproportionately large increase in children and adolescents presenting for psychiatric conditions (5, 6). Between 2001 and 2011, pediatric psychiatric ED visits increased from 4.2% to 7.2% of all pediatric ED visits (7). Unfortunately, causes of pediatric psychiatric ED use remain understudied, especially among high-risk clinical populations.

Patients discharged from psychiatric hospitalization may be at especially high risk of ED use. The 30-day period immediately following discharge is a time of high risk and stress for these patients and their families. Patients are at elevated risk of a recurrence of suicidal thoughts or behaviors that often require immediate intervention in an ED (8, 9). To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have explicitly examined clinical predictors of ED use during the critical period following a psychiatric hospitalization. Rather, previous research has focused on determining predictors of hospital readmission—a commonly used marker of negative outcomes adopted from the medical literature. z=1.98, p=.048), and longer length of stay (OR=1.05, 95% CI=1.03-1.07, z=2.74, p=0.006) were associated with increased ED use within 30 days of discharge.

Conclusions: ED use may be an important marker of negative outcomes within 30 days of discharge from pediatric psychiatric hospitalization. Patients with high trauma exposure, anxiety, and acuity marked by increased length of stay may require additional services to prevent unplanned ED use for psychiatric crises.

Psychiatric Services 2019; 70:613-616; doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800441

Although psychiatric rehospitalization may frequently occur after hospitalization, it is not the only possible negative outcome. Because of logistical and economic factors including referral policies, access to mental health services, lack of insurance, and requirements by insurance providers—the ED is the first and sometimes only line of support for many children needing mental health evaluation

HIGHLIGHTS

- Pediatric psychiatric posthospitalization outcomes research has focused almost exclusively on rehospitalization as an outcome, despite a significant uptick in pediatric psychiatric emergency department (ED) admissions and the resultant cost and burden.
- This study finds that a greater variety of trauma-type exposure, generalized anxiety disorder, and longer lengths of stay are associated with increased risk of ED use for a mental health evaluation in the 30 days after discharge to the community following pediatric psychiatric hospitalization.
- To reduce the cost and health care burden of this negative outcome, future research should seek to confirm these findings in larger multisite studies and more directly control for underlying factors contributing to lengths of stay, determination of discharge services, and the child's ecological context.

or stabilization (10). Therefore, examination of ED usage may more completely account for patients who require psychiatric services but are not rehospitalized, whether because of lack of need or lack of resources. To date, documentation of ED use as a discrete outcome after psychiatric hospitalization has been underexplored in the pediatric psychiatric literature.

This significant gap in the literature prevents identification of predictive risk factors for emergency care that could support the development of interventions to both improve outcomes for this patient population during a critical highrisk period and reduce the burden in the ED. To address this significant knowledge gap, this study aimed to identify predictors (demographic and clinical characteristics and use of mental health care services) of ED use for a mental health evaluation within 30 days of hospital discharge, by prospectively following patients discharged from a pediatric psychiatric hospitalization.

METHODS

This longitudinal, observational study was approved by the study site institutional review board. Parents of children and adolescents discharged to the community from a Bostonarea acute inpatient psychiatric hospitalization program were recruited between June 15, 2014, and November 15, 2016, to participate in this study. All study participants provided written, informed consent. Clinical and demographic variables were collected from each patient's electronic medical record. Parent- and guardian-reported 30-day ED usage was collected by using REDCap, a secure electronic data capture system. At 30 days after discharge, parents and guardians were e-mailed a link to a secure electronic survey by asking whether their child had an "assessment by a hospital ED for a mental health crisis" in the month since discharge from the study site. Between 33 and 45 days after discharge, if parents had not completed the survey, they were contacted with reminders to complete the survey, first via e-mail and then via phone.

Patient demographic variables included age, sex, race, and adoption status. Clinical variables included reason for admission, discharge diagnosis, hospital length of stay (LOS) and type of planned outpatient treatment services (i.e., home with enrollment in a partial hospitalization program [PHP] or home with an appointment for outpatient therapy). The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale for Children symptom domains were used to measure patient symptom severity on admission. A trauma exposure variable assessed how many types of trauma (physical abuse, sexual abuse, witness to violence, severe neglect, bullying, or traumatic loss) a patient had experienced prior to admission. All of the variables listed above were documented as part of the admission or discharge assessments for all children admitted to the unit by a licensed psychiatrist and were obtained from electronic medical records of intake and discharge summaries by using a chart abstraction tool.

All statistical analyses were performed by using R version 3.5.1. Observations with missing values were ignored. We first selected univariate predictors of ED use, using α =.05, to include in a subsequent multivariate model. We then constructed a logistic regression model of clinical and demographic variables predicting ED use within 30 days of discharge.

RESULTS

Of 242 enrolled parents, 155 (64%) reported whether or not their child had required an ED visit for a mental health crisis within the first 30 days following their hospital discharge. Patient characteristics did not significantly differ on any of the major demographic or clinical categories examined between the groups of parents who reported and did not report on the 30-day ED usage. Of the 155 patients whose parents did report, 116 (75%) were female; 115 (74%) were Caucasian, eight (5%) were Asian, seven (5%) were Hispanic, five (3%) were black, nine (6%) identified as other, and 17 (11%) did not document their ethnicity; 140 (90%) had a mood disorder diagnosis; and 140 (90%) had been hospitalized for risk of harm to self. The patient population had a median age of 15 years (range 7-18 years) with a median hospital LOS of 11 days (range 1-52 days). Trauma exposure was documented on hospital admission; 63 patients (41%) experienced at least one trauma type, 20 (13%) experienced at least two trauma types, and five (3%) experienced three or more trauma types. Of the 155 patients with parent-reported ED usage data, 24 (16%) used the ED for a mental health evaluation within 30 days of discharge. Of these 24 visits, 20 (83%) were by patients who also had a psychiatric rehospitalization.

The results of all variables examined in this study in separate univariate logistic regression models are available as an online supplement to this report. The three statistically significant univariate predictors of ED use included in the subsequent model-building procedure included total reported trauma types (odds ratio [OR]=1.64, 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.30–2.06, *z*=2.16, p=.031), hospital LOS (OR=1.04, 95% CI=1.03–1.06, *z*=2.70, p=0.007), and a diagnosis of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD; OR=3.35, 95% CI=2.02–5.56, *z*=2.40, p=0.028).

We constructed a multivariate logistic regression model by using these selected predictor variables, which resulted in the final main effects model in Table 1. In this multivariate model, each additional trauma type experienced (OR=1.92, z=2.67, p=0.008), each additional LOS day (OR=1.05, z=2.74, p=0.006), and a GAD diagnosis (OR=3.20, z=1.98, p=0.048) increased risk of ED use within 30 days of discharge. Also presented in Table 1 is an adjusted model controlling for ethnicity, age, sex, and adoption status. In this model, ORs remained comparable and p values remained statistically significant for LOS, GAD, and trauma types. In Appendix 1 of the online supplement, we present findings of interaction effects between GAD, LOS, and PHP use postdischarge.

DISCUSSION

Our study found that patients with more lifetime documented trauma types, a GAD diagnosis, or longer LOS were at increased risk of using the ED within 30 days of discharge. Below, we discuss each finding and its clinical implications.

Increased trauma type exposure is associated with increased ED use after a pediatric psychiatric hospitalization. These findings align with research that has found four or more co-occurring trauma types significantly increase the odds of self-harm, suicidal or violent behaviors in a comparable inpatient population (11). As a majority of patients (90%) are admitted to the study site due to risk of self-harm, complex trauma histories may increase ED use via increased levels of self-harm and suicidal behaviors.

In the final model, both a GAD diagnosis and longer hospital LOS were associated with increased risk of ED use when controlling for other variables. Our findings add to literature that implicates anxiety with increased health care use

(12). In our pediatric population, patients with GAD may be more likely to exhibit behaviors that result in caregivers seeking emergency care.

With regard to hospital LOS, other studies have reported longer LOS to be associated with increased risk of rehospitalization (13). We found in the final model that risk of ED use in the postdischarge study period increased as hospital LOS increased, while holding other model variables constant. Hospital LOS is a complex variable that may reflect patient acuity or greater psychosocial complexity during hospitalization. The analysis presented in Appendix 1 in the online supplement details preliminary findings that may elucidate one feature of this complexity, namely that LOS is associated with ED utilization risk differently for patients with GAD than patients without GAD.

The study findings suggest potential targets for improving patient outcomes following a pediatric psychiatric hospitalization, thereby decreasing the high use of ED services for a mental health evaluation for this high-risk population. Interestingly, not all patients who went to the ED for a mental health evaluation were hospitalized. Had rehospitalization been the outcome variable of interest, the negative outcomes for these patients would have been missed. To fully understand the outcomes of patients following a psychiatric hospitalization, researchers should consider collecting both variables in future longitudinal studies, especially in regions with significant differences in mental health care delivery and availability.

The current study had several limitations that should be addressed in future research. Given the absence of studies examining ED use following a pediatric psychiatric hospitalization, this study used a data-driven approach to identify predictors of ED use. Although this approach has the virtue

TABLE 1. Predictors of use	of the emergency	department fo	ollowing pediatric
psychiatric hospitalization ^a			

Model and predictor	OR	95% CI	Z	р
Main effects				
N of trauma types	1.92	1.50-2.45	2.67	.008
Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) (reference: no GAD)	3.20	1.78-5.76	1.98	.048
Length of stay	1.05	1.03-1.07	2.74	.006
Intercept	.04	.0207	-6.20	.001
Adjusted main effects				
N of trauma types	1.94	1.46-2.57	2.33	.020
GAD (reference: no GAD)	3.62	1.97-6.63	2.12	.034
Length of stay	1.05	1.03-1.07	2.56	.011
Sex (reference: male)	1.40	.71-2.77	.50	.618
Caucasian (reference: non-Caucasian)	3.32	1.56-7.06	1.59	.111
Age at admission	.95	.82-1.12	30	.767
Adoption status (reference: not adopted)	4.78	2.02-11.31	1.82	.069
Intercept	.02	.0023	-1.59	.112

^a Results are from multivariate logistic regression final models. Model statistics: main effects (N=141) (Akaike information criterion (AIC)=112.86, -2 log likelihood (-2LL)=-52.42, area under the curve (AUC)=.72, df=137; adjusted main effects (N=139) (AIC=115.40, -2LL=-49.70, AUC=.74, df=131).

> of not excluding potential predictors in an underexplored area of outcomes research, it may increase type I error. Additionally, because of small sample size and a single-site study design, the study findings may not generalize well to other populations. Considering these limitations, future research should aim to replicate these study findings in larger, multisite studies before applying findings to policy and practice. The current study also explored relatively few demographic variables, no ecological variables characterizing the child's environment, and used a basic measure of trauma. Future studies should more robustly control for socioeconomic status, characterize patients' ecological environment, and measure trauma exposure.

AUTHOR AND ARTICLE INFORMATION

Department of Mental Health Research (King, Joyce, Nash) and McLean Franciscan Department of Child and Adolescent Mental Health Programs (Buonopane, Sossong), Franciscan Children's, Brighton, Massachusetts; Division of Depression and Anxiety Disorders (King, Ressler) and Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (Buonopane, Sossong), McLean Hospital, Belmont, Massachusetts; Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston (Ressler). Send correspondence to Ms. Nash (cnash@franciscanchildrens.org).

This research was supported by an Infrastructure and Capacity Building grant (13CBEHS) from the Executive Office of Health and Human Services, Boston, to Ms. Nash and a grant from the National Institute of Mental Health (MH112956) and the Frazier Foundation Grant for Mood and Anxiety Research to Dr. Ressler.

Dr. Ressler is on the scientific advisory boards for Resilience Therapeutics, the Sheppard Pratt-Lieber Research Institute, the Laureate Institute for Brain Research, the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers Project, the University of California, San Diego, Veterans Affairs Center of Excellence for Stress and Mental Health, and the Anxiety and Depression Association of America. He provides fee-for-service consultation for Biogen and Resilience Therapeutics. The other authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests. Received September 26, 2018; revisions received January 14 and February 5, 2019; accepted February 22, 2019; published online April 23, 2019.

REFERENCES

- Hoot NR, Aronsky D: Systematic review of emergency department crowding: causes, effects, and solutions. Ann Emerg Med 2008; 52:126–136.
- 2. Carter EJ, Pouch SM, Larson EL: The relationship between emergency department crowding and patient outcomes: a systematic review. J Nurs Scholarsh 2014; 46:106–115.
- Trzeciak S, Rivers EP: Emergency department overcrowding in the United States: an emerging threat to patient safety and public health. Emerg Med J 2003; 20:402–405.
- 4. Nicks BA, Manthey DM: The impact of psychiatric patient boarding in emergency departments. Emerg Med Int 2012; 2012:360308.
- Larkin GL, Claassen CA, Emond JA, et al: Trends in US emergency department visits for mental health conditions, 1992 to 2001. Psychiatr Serv 2005; 56:671–677.
- Pittsenbarger ZE, Mannix R: Trends in pediatric visits to the emergency department for psychiatric illnesses. Acad Emerg Med 2014; 21:25–30.

- Simon AE, Schoendorf KC: Emergency department visits for mental health conditions among US children, 2001–2011. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 2014; 53:1359–1366.
- James S, Charlemagne SJ, Gilman AB, et al: Post-discharge services and psychiatric rehospitalization among children and youth. Adm Policy Ment Health Ment Health Serv Res 2010; 37:433–445.
- Prinstein MJ, Nock MK, Simon V, et al: Longitudinal trajectories and predictors of adolescent suicidal ideation and attempts following inpatient hospitalization. J Consult Clin Psychol 2008; 76: 92–103.
- Gill PJ, Saunders N, Gandhi S, et al: Emergency department as a first contact for mental health problems in children and youth. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2017; 56:475–482.e4.
- Tossone K, Jefferis ES, Grey SF, et al: Poly-traumatization and harmful behaviors in a sample of emergency department Psychiatric Intake Response Center youth. Child Abuse Negl 2015; 40: 142–151.
- Roy-Byrne PP, Wagner A: Primary care perspectives on generalized anxiety disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2004; 65(suppl 13):20–26
- Fontanella CA: The influence of clinical, treatment, and healthcare system characteristics on psychiatric readmission of adolescents. Am J Orthopsychiatry 2008; 78:187–198.

Technology in Mental Health Column: Submissions Welcome

A Technology in Mental Health, a *Psychiatric Services* column edited by Dror Ben-Zeev, Ph.D., focuses on technology-based or technology-assisted approaches in the assessment, treatment, monitoring, or prevention of mental health problems (e.g., mHealth or eHealth, decision support tools, wearable devices, social media, and training programs). Given the rapid pace of technology development, multiple stakeholders—policy makers, administrators, clinicians, and consumers of mental health services—stand to benefit from learning about novel approaches as they emerge.

The column is an ideal venue to expose readers to innovative technologies and innovative strategies for using existing technology to improve mental health outcomes in a timely manner. Submissions may include (but are not limited to) informed opinion pieces, conceptual papers, analyses of the state of the field, policy papers relevant to the use of technology, and first-person accounts from users of technology in mental health (i.e., patients, providers, and administrators). Empirical efforts (e.g., deployment in the context of real-world care, proof-of-principle studies) will be considered only if the findings are used to inform a "bigger picture" discussion that has broader implications for the field. Authors are encouraged to explore, debate, and demonstrate how to capitalize on and build new technologies that will redefine the field by generating new science and practice.

Submissions should include a 100-word abstract. Up to 10 references are permitted. The total word count (including abstract, text, and references) should not exceed 2,400 words—or 2,000 with a small table or figure. Tables, figures, and multimedia material may be submitted as an online-only supplement to the column. Please submit online at ScholarOne Manuscripts (https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/appi-ps).