

The Changing Context of Rural America: A Call to Examine the Impact of Social Change on Mental Health and Mental Health Care

Elizabeth Carpenter-Song, Ph.D., and Claire Snell-Rood, Ph.D.

Recent social changes and rising social inequality in the rural United States have affected the experience and meaning of mental illness and treatment seeking within rural communities. Rural Americans face serious mental health disparities, including higher rates of suicide and depression compared with residents of urban areas, and substance abuse rates in rural areas now equal those in urban areas. Despite these increased

risks, people living in rural areas are less likely than their urban counterparts to seek or receive mental health services. This Open Forum calls for a research agenda supported by anthropological theory and methods to investigate the significance of this changed rural social context for mental health.

Psychiatric Services 2017; 68:503–506; doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201600024

The rural United States is experiencing a wave of social changes and rising inequality that holds implications for mental health and treatment seeking. Drawing on the robust association between socioeconomic status and mental health (1) and the potential medicalization of suffering that accompanies rising inequality (2), we consider how these social changes may affect mental health experiences in the rural United States, including the personal, social, and cultural meanings of mental health problems as well as treatment seeking and responses to suffering. Building on the work of an earlier generation of researchers and policy makers in the field of rural mental health, in this Open Forum we offer a new agenda supported by anthropological methods and theoretical frameworks for research into the significance of this changed rural social context for mental health.

Rural Mental Health Disparities

Residents of the rural United States face serious mental health disparities, including higher rates of suicide (3) and depression (4) compared with residents of urban areas, and substance abuse rates in rural areas now equal those in urban areas (5). Despite experiencing these increased risks, rural dwellers are less likely than their urban counterparts to seek or receive mental health services (6). Shortages of mental health providers are foremost in limiting rural residents' access to services (7), yet long travel distances to health centers and a lack of culturally competent providers compound these shortages (8).

A complex interplay among the geographic, social, and cultural characteristics of rural settings shapes perceptions

of mental health problems and, consequently, the use of mental health services (9). Individuals may be reluctant to be seen seeking mental health services, and cultural values of stoicism and resilience may shame people into enduring their problems on their own (10).

Implications of Social Changes in Rural America on Mental Health

Entrenched poverty has long contributed to serious disparities in mental health and access to services for the 20% of Americans who live in rural areas. The past few decades have brought enormous social changes to the rural United States. With the decline of the agricultural and manufacturing sectors, rural economies have become dominated by low-wage, part-time employment (11). In this context, rural poverty has become increasingly concentrated (12), and opportunities for mobility have declined (11). In response to limited opportunities, many young adults have out-migrated, leaving fewer people to sustain communities (13). As family forms have shifted, more women head their households while negotiating working poverty (14), and those without jobs are more likely to be depressed (15).

Rural areas are becoming increasingly racially and ethnically diverse, with immigration accounting for a disproportionate share of population growth in the rural United States (16). Yet immigrants and African Americans who relocate to rural areas for new social and economic opportunities face the mental health fallout of being excluded within areas that are often predominantly white (17), and they may struggle to

access health care despite their increased mental health burden (18).

Meanwhile, in rural areas and beyond, health care and policy changes have introduced psychiatry to a broad audience, with mental health-related information more widely available via the Internet and direct-to-consumer advertising of medications. Telepsychiatry and treatment modalities delivered by mobile application, which comprise an increasing share of mental health treatment options (19), hold great potential to mitigate barriers to access in rural settings. Residents of rural areas receive Social Security disability benefits at a disproportionate rate compared with metropolitan areas (20), and some have become familiar with mental health diagnoses because psychiatric impairment constitutes the largest and fastest-growing category of Social Security Disability Insurance (21). Yet even as these trends demonstrate growing information about mental health, increased treatment modalities, and greater access to mental health-related entitlements, researchers have little understanding of their significance for public understanding of mental health in rural areas and their consequent impact on mental health outcomes.

Anthropologically Informed Mental Health Research

Fresh perspectives are needed to generate promising avenues for the development of effective treatment and policy interventions for dynamic, deepening rural mental health disparities. Anthropological approaches are both innovative and underused in health services research (22). Decades of research in medical anthropology have robustly demonstrated how the sociocultural context shapes meanings of illness and help-seeking behaviors (23). Anthropological methods of long-term research in real-world settings provide opportunities to learn how persons with mental health problems and their families, health care workers, and communities identify, conceptualize, and respond to mental health challenges (23). The clinical understanding of mental illnesses often clashes with community perspectives that attribute distress to problematic familial, moral, religious, and societal pathways (24). Such divergent perspectives may discourage help seeking if individuals, families, and communities believe that available services do not attend to “what really matters” (25). For example, ethnographic research has offered insight into why severely disenfranchised and ill individuals may purposefully avoid mental health services (26).

Contemporary medical anthropological perspectives explicitly link illness to the broader social, economic, and political conditions that produce inequality and exacerbate suffering (27). This theoretical framework is ideal for examining the intersections between mental health, social changes, and social inequality. Accordingly, intersectional inquiry provides the grounds for examining how social forces overlap and interact to produce health inequities rather than striving to isolate the impact, for example, of poverty, inequality, gender, and rurality as singular factors (28).

A foundation of the proposed research agenda is the anthropological stance of questioning categories (22) and of expressing skepticism of “medicalization.” Rather than accepting categories such as mental health, inequality, or even rurality as givens, anthropological inquiry examines the meanings, boundaries, and content of categories (22). Ethnographic examinations of local categories of distress in rural communities can articulate how individuals, families, and communities make sense of suffering and assess how clinical interpretations can become more useful. This approach can specify whether and how people in rural communities relate suffering to rising inequality and social changes.

In the same way that medical anthropology is rooted in cross-cultural comparison, the study of mental health in rural settings must recognize the diversity between rural and urban contexts and within rural communities (29,30). By generating research that responds to lived experiences in rural areas, anthropological approaches can contribute to designing systems of care that will be effective for rural communities.

An Agenda for Research on Rural Inequality and Mental Health

To understand how individuals describe the impact of inequality on their mental health, it is important to examine events at the macro and community levels. In the case of the macro level, there are obvious questions about the role of national policies on local economic conditions and, indirectly, mental health issues, as reflected by the resurgence in populist rhetoric during the most recent presidential election. Longitudinal observations can provide insight into the differences between mental health challenges attributed to persistent poverty and those attributed to recent social changes—with particular attention to the perspectives of various age groups and persons with varying histories of employment, underemployment, and unemployment. Such findings could inform the adaptation of supported employment models to align with the harsh economic realities and fraught cultural meanings of employment in underserved rural areas.

On a community level, researchers must document the experience of mental distress in settings at the heart of rural communities’ social and economic shifts—churches and businesses of persons who have recently migrated to the area, the homes of people following job losses, and the offices where disability benefits are sought on the basis of a depression diagnosis. Documenting informal conversations about mental health and inequality and everyday practices within community settings can reveal if depression is normalized and to what extent experiences of demoralization are identified and treated as mental health problems. In addition, ethnographic research can examine how individuals and communities respond to mental health challenges to identify what forms of coping are deemed acceptable or unacceptable, for example, substance use, in particular rural settings. Partnerships with community-based organizations enable researchers to draw together diverse coalitions that have been affected differently

by social changes and engender sensitive individual, family, community, and policy strategies to engage the multiple factors that contribute to distress.

Second, it is important to raise questions about how direct-to-consumer advertising and more accessible pharmacological treatment affect the experience of mental health in rural areas. Whereas the higher use of pharmacological treatment for depression in rural areas is likely related to mental health provider shortages (31), has the ubiquity of direct-to-consumer advertising led rural individuals to more readily seek and accept medication? Is medication viewed as sufficient, complete treatment? Given that rural residents carry a higher burden of comorbid chronic diseases, do residents find that psychotropic medications constitute simply one more pill to take? Empirical findings on these topics can inform educational interventions, shape strategies for outreach across the continuum of mental health severity, and illuminate how depression treatment can be incorporated more effectively within complex chronic disease self-management.

Finally, documenting experiences and on-the-ground realities of the rural mental health care workforce is another avenue for anthropologically informed research. With rural areas already facing shortages of specialty mental health providers, how are health and social service providers responding to increased inequality in rural areas? Observations and in-depth interviews with rural providers can uncover how providers mediate the complex intersection between local inequalities and mental health problems. How do their community relationships influence their care? Must they triage patients with severe needs? These perspectives would offer insight into the feasibility and acceptability of shifting mental health care tasks to community health workers. At the same time, qualitative approaches to understanding how social changes affect the organizational context in which providers work could be used to create pragmatic burnout prevention interventions and contribute to better organizational supports.

Conclusions

Rising social inequality in the rural United States presents distinctive challenges. This Open Forum has considered the implications of recent social changes for mental health and treatment seeking among rural populations. To promote truly patient-centered and culturally appropriate services, we advocate inclusion of medical anthropological approaches in rural community-based research, with the ultimate goal of catalyzing meaningful change (32).

AUTHOR AND ARTICLE INFORMATION

Dr. Carpenter-Song is with the Department of Anthropology, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire (e-mail: elizabeth.a.carpenter-song@dartmouth.edu). Dr. Snell-Rood is with the Department of Behavioral Science, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington.

Dr. Carpenter-Song received grant support (1UL1TR001086-04) from the National Center for Advancing Translational Science and Dr. Snell-Rood received grant support (5K12DA035150-03) from Building Interdisciplinary

Research Careers in Women's Health at the time that this Open Forum was written. The authors thank Emily Hauenstein, Ph.D., R.N., and Robert E. Drake, M.D., Ph.D., for reading earlier drafts of this Open Forum.

The authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

Received January 15, 2016; revision received July 5, 2016; accepted August 26, 2016; published online November 15, 2016.

REFERENCES

1. Ng E, Muntaner C, Chung H, et al: Socioeconomic status and mental illness; in the Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Health, Illness, Behavior, and Society. Edited by Cockerham WC. New York, Wiley, 2014
2. Jenkins JH: *Pharmaceutical Self: The Global Shaping of Experience in an Age of Psychopharmacology*. Santa Fe, NM, School for Advanced Research Press, 2010
3. Eberhardt MS, Pamuk ER: The importance of place of residence: examining health in rural and nonrural areas. *American Journal of Public Health* 94:1682-1686, 2004
4. Simmons LA, Braun B, Charnigo R, et al: Depression and poverty among rural women: a relationship of social causation or social selection? *Journal of Rural Health* 24:292-298, 2008
5. Dew B, Elifson K, Dozier M: Social and environmental factors and their influence on drug use vulnerability and resiliency in rural populations. *Journal of Rural Health* 23(suppl):16-21, 2007
6. Wang PS, Lane M, Olfson M, et al: Twelve-month use of mental health services in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 62:629-640, 2005
7. Mohatt DF, Bradley MM, Adams SJ, et al: *Mental Health and Rural America: 1994-2005*. Rockville, Md, US Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Office of Rural Health Policy, 2005
8. Hauenstein EJ: Building the rural mental health system: from de facto system to quality care. *Annual Review of Nursing Research* 26:143-173, 2008
9. Philo C, Parr H, Burns N: Rural madness: a geographical reading and critique of the rural mental health literature. *Journal of Rural Studies* 19:259-281, 2003
10. Fuller J, Edwards J, Procter N, et al: How definition of mental health problems can influence help seeking in rural and remote communities. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 8:148-153, 2000
11. Burton LM, Lichter DT, Baker RS, et al: Inequality, family processes, and health in the "new" rural America. *American Behavioral Scientist* 57:1128-1151, 2013
12. Lichter DT, Parisi D: *Concentrated Rural Poverty and the Geography of Exclusion*. Durham, NH, Carsey Institute, 2008
13. Keyes KM, Cerdá M, Brady JE, et al: Understanding the rural-urban differences in nonmedical prescription opioid use and abuse in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health* 104:e52-e59, 2014
14. Berry AA, Katras MJ, Sano Y, et al: Job volatility of rural, low-income mothers: a mixed methods approach. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* 29:5-22, 2008
15. Kim E, Seiling S, Stafford K, et al: Rural low-income women's employment and mental health. *Journal of Rural Community Psychology* E8:2, 2005
16. Johnson KM: *Demographic Trends in Rural and Small Town America: Reports on Rural America*. Durham, NH, Carsey Institute, 2006
17. Burton L, Garrett-Peters R, Eason J: *Morality, identity, and mental health in rural ghettos; in Communities, Neighborhoods, and Health*. Edited by Burton LM, Kemp SP, Leung M, et al. New York, Springer, 2011
18. Bonnar KK, McCarthy M: Health related quality of life in a rural area with low racial/ethnic density. *Journal of Community Health* 37:96-104, 2012

19. Marsch L, Lord S, Dallery J: Behavioral Health Care and Technology: Using Science-Based Innovations to Transform Practice. Oxford, United Kingdom, Oxford University Press, 2014
20. Bishop B, Galdardo R: The geography of disability. *The Daily Yonder: Keep It Rural*, Nov 30, 2011. <http://www.dailyyonder.com/geography-disability/2011/11/30/3619>
21. Mental Health, United States, 2008. HHS pub no SMA 10-4590. Rockville, Md, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2010
22. Lambert H, McKeivitt C: Anthropology in health research: from qualitative methods to multidisciplinary. *BMJ* 325:210–213, 2002
23. Kleinman A: Patients and Healers in the Context of Culture: An Exploration of the Borderland Between Anthropology, Medicine and Psychiatry. Oakland, University of California Press, 1980
24. Carpenter-Song E, Chu E, Drake RE, et al: Ethno-cultural variations in the experience and meaning of mental illness and treatment: implications for access and utilization. *Transcultural Psychiatry* 47:224–251, 2010
25. Kleinman A: What Really Matters: Living a Moral Life Amidst Uncertainty and Danger. Oxford, United Kingdom, Oxford University Press, 2006
26. Luhrmann TM: “The street will drive you crazy”: why homeless psychotic women in the institutional circuit in the United States often say no to offers of help. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 165: 15–20, 2008
27. Biehl J, Good BJ, Kleinman A: Subjectivity: Ethnographic Investigations. Oakland, University of California Press, 2007
28. Hankivsky O: Women’s health, men’s health, and gender and health: implications of intersectionality. *Social Science and Medicine* 74: 1712–1720, 2012
29. Johnson K: Demographic Trends in Rural and Small Town America. Durham, University of New Hampshire, 2006
30. Hartley D: Rural health disparities, population health, and rural culture. *American Journal of Public Health* 94:1675–1678, 2004
31. Fortney JC, Harman JS, Xu S, et al: The association between rural residence and the use, type, and quality of depression care. *Journal of Rural Health* 26:205–213, 2010
32. Whitley R: Beyond critique: rethinking roles for the anthropology of mental health. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 38:499–511, 2014

Submissions Invited for Datapoints Column

Datapoints encourages the rapid dissemination of relevant and timely findings related to clinical and policy issues in psychiatry. National or international data, especially from large representative databases, are preferred. The editors are particularly interested in data that can be accessed by other researchers. Topics may include differences or trends in diagnosis and practice patterns or in treatment modalities, especially across different care settings or in the context of new policies or payment sources. The analyses should be straightforward, so that the data displayed tell a clear story. The text should follow the standard research format and include a brief introduction, description of the methods and data set, description of the results, and comments on the implications or meanings of the findings.

Datapoints columns must include one figure or table, and because the column is limited to one printed page, it is therefore limited to 350–400 words. Submissions with multiple authors are discouraged because of space constraints.

Inquiries or submissions should be directed to the column editors: Amy M. Kilbourne, Ph.D., M.P.H. (amykilbo@umich.edu), or Tami L. Mark, Ph.D. (tmark@rti.org).