

Physicians' Influence on Primary Care Patients' Reluctance to Use Mental Health Treatment

Tzipi Hornik-Lurie, M.A.
Yaacov Lerner, M.D.
Nelly Zilber, D.ès Sc.
Marjorie C. Feinson, Ph.D.
Julie G. Cwikel, Ph.D.

Objectives: The study examined attitudes of primary care patients toward mental health treatment and whether ambivalent or negative attitudes change after patients receive recommendations from their primary care physicians to seek treatment from a mental health professional. **Methods:** Data were collected in face-to-face interviews with 902 Jewish patients aged 25–75 in eight primary care clinics in Israel. Measures included validated mental health instruments and a vignette eliciting patients' readiness to consider treatment and potential influence of a physician's recommendation. **Results:** Initially, almost half of patients were reluctant to consider specialized mental health treatment. The probability of having a more positive attitude after the physician's recommendation was significantly higher among patients with more severe clinical diagnoses. **Conclusions:** A major finding was the

positive impact of primary care physicians' recommendations on reluctant patients. Encouraging physicians to discuss mental health issues would likely promote more positive attitudes and increase patients' willingness to access treatment. (*Psychiatric Services* 65:541–545, 2014; doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201300064)

According to data from the World Health Organization, a quarter of primary care visits are for mental health issues (1). Research in Israel and elsewhere indicates that about half of patients with a mental health issue seek care not from a mental health professional (2,3) but from primary care physicians (4), mainly because of perceived stigma (5). Because positive attitudes toward mental health treatment lead to actual service use (6,7), we wished to determine whether primary care physicians might positively affect their patients' willingness to use mental health services.

The objectives of this study were, first, to examine differences between primary care patients who differ in degree of positivity in their attitudes (positive, ambivalent, or negative) toward mental health professionals and second, among patients with ambivalent or negative attitudes, to examine characteristics of those who change or do not change their attitudes after primary care physicians recommend specialized mental health treatment.

Methods

The study population consisted of primary care patients who, at the time of the study (2002–2003), were between ages 25 and 75 and visited one of eight selected clinics in Israel's largest health maintenance organization (HMO) during the year before the interview. For this study, which is part of a larger study about primary care utilization (8), a decision was made to exclude younger adults (<25) because of relatively few medical problems or, if in active military service, use of army medical services. Older adults (>75) also were excluded due to generally higher utilization rates for somatic problems. The clinics represent a cross-section of the Israeli population. The analysis is based on face-to-face interviews with 902 Jewish patients. Only patients who signed informed consent forms (77% of those eligible) were included. The study protocol and instruments were reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of the HMO as well as by the medical directors of the eight selected clinics. [Additional details are available online in the data supplement to this report.]

We administered two mental health assessment instruments, for which reliability and validity have been established. A shortened version of the Brief Symptom Inventory provided a global measure of distress, which is known as the Global Severity Index (GSI). The Composite International Diagnostic Interview–Short

Ms. Hornik-Lurie and Dr. Cwikel are with the Department of Social Work, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel (e-mail: tzipi58@zahav.net.il). Ms. Hornik-Lurie is also with the Falk Institute for Mental Health Studies, Kfar-Shaul Hospital, Jerusalem, where Dr. Lerner, Dr. Zilber, and Dr. Feinson are affiliated. Dr. Cwikel is also with the Center for Women's Health and Promotion at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.

Form (CIDI-SF) provided diagnoses of depression and anxiety. [Additional details are available in the online data supplement.]

Patients self-rated their mental health over the previous 12 months using a five-category scale on which responses range from excellent to poor. Patients who rated their mental health as poor were considered subjectively psychologically distressed. Patients who reported working less than usual or being less productive due to psychological distress were characterized as dysfunctional.

Patients' attitudes toward mental health treatment were assessed with a vignette concerning an individual with emotional difficulties (for example, unhappy, depressed, loss of appetite, or sleeping problems). Respondents were asked two questions: if a friend was in such a situation, would the respondent advise treatment from a mental health professional (such as a psychologist or social worker)? Second, respondents were asked to place themselves in the same situation and consider whether they would seek mental health treatment for themselves.

Attitudes toward treatment were categorized as positive, uncertain, or negative. Because significant agreement was found between answers to the two questions ($\kappa = .50$, $p < .001$), they were combined into one variable with three categories: positive (the answer to both questions was positive or one answer was positive and the other uncertain), uncertain (the answer to both questions was uncertain or one answer was positive and the other negative), or negative (the answer to both questions was negative or one answer was negative and the other uncertain).

Patients initially uncertain or negative were asked about their willingness to follow their primary care physician's recommendation to get treatment from a mental health professional. The responses were yes, uncertain, or no. To examine the influence of the primary care physician's recommendation, follow-up responses were compared with the initial responses, and a two-category variable was created: positive influence (became positive after receiving the primary care physician's recommendation) or no influence (did not become

positive after receiving the primary care physician's recommendation).

Sociodemographic questions relevant to mental health treatment seeking, as documented in various publications (6,9,10) concerned gender, place of birth, age, years of education, marital status, religious observance, and sufficiency of family income to cover the costs of daily living.

Initially, demographic variables were assessed for significant bivariate associations with two dependent variables, the readiness to get treatment from a mental health professional and the influence of the primary care physician on the patient's readiness to get treatment from a mental health professional (cross-tabulation).

Pairwise tests of the equality of row proportions were performed. The results were based on two-sided z tests with a significance level of .05. The p values of the tests were adjusted with the Bonferroni method.

For each dependent variable, the independent variables found to be significantly associated in the previous analysis were entered together into a logistic regression in order to check their association with the dependent variables after analyses controlled for the effect of all other independent variables entered into the model. The dependent variable—the attitude toward getting treatment from a mental health professional—had three categories. Thus a multinomial logistic regression was performed when each regression was done twice, each time with a different reference category. Data analyses were performed with SPSS/PC, version 19.0. Two-sided tests of significance were used in all analyses.

Results

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are provided in Table 1. Overall, about half (54%) expressed readiness to get treatment from a mental health professional when needed, a third (33%) were uncertain, and 13% were unwilling to do so.

Bivariate analyses revealed that the readiness of primary care patients for mental health treatment was significantly associated with gender, place of birth, age, education, religious observance, subjective psychological

distress, and a diagnosis of anxiety (Table 1). These variables were included in multinomial logistic regressions with the exception of diagnosis of anxiety because of its high correlation with subjective psychological distress ($\chi^2 = 79.76$, $df = 1$, $p < .001$). [A table in the online data supplement provides results of the multinomial logistic regressions.]

Among patients who were subjectively psychologically distressed according to self-evaluations, 88% ($N = 61$ of 69) also showed distress according to the GSI or received a CIDI-SF diagnosis of depression or anxiety. In contrast, among those who were distressed according to the GSI or had a diagnosis of depression or anxiety, only 21% ($N = 61$ of 292) acknowledged that they were psychologically distressed.

Multinomial logistic regressions indicated that the probability of an uncertain or a negative attitude toward specialized mental health treatment was similar to a negative one, except for gender; women had a higher probability of a positive or uncertain attitude compared with men, who had more negative attitudes. The probability of having an uncertain or negative attitude rather than a positive one was significantly higher among patients born in the former Soviet Union, adults ≥ 65 years, younger adults aged 25–34, patients without high school education, and those whose religious observance was ultra-Orthodox. Another predictor of uncertain or negative attitudes was not feeling subjectively psychologically distressed.

Among patients who initially had an uncertain or negative attitude, 28% (116 of 418) were influenced positively by their physician. More specifically, among those who expressed uncertainty, a third (32%, or 96 of 297) changed their attitude to positive after receiving the physician's recommendation. In contrast among participants with negative attitudes, a similar change occurred for less than one-fifth (17%, 20 of 121).

The influence of primary care physicians on patients' attitudes toward mental health treatment was significantly correlated in bivariate analyses with place of birth, diagnosis of depression, the four-category variable of

Table 1Attitudes toward seeking treatment from a mental health professional, by patient characteristics^a

Characteristic	Total		Positive		Uncertain		Negative		p
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Total	902	100	484	54	297	33	121	13	
Gender									.002
Male	311	34	149	48	104	33	58	19	
Female	591	66	335	57	193	33	63	11	
Place of birth									.009
Former Soviet Union	136	15	57	42	59	43	20	15	
Middle East	97	11	59	61	26	27	12	12	
North Africa	192	22	95	50	62	32	35	18	
Western-hemisphere country ^b	135	15	83	61	36	27	16	12	
Israel	327	37	183	56	110	34	34	10	
Age									<.001
25–34	129	14	65	50	51	40	13	10	
35–44	134	15	85	63	33	25	16	12	
45–54	194	22	122	63	55	28	17	9	
55–64	187	21	114	61	48	26	25	13	
65–75	246	28	94	38	106	43	46	19	
Education (years)									.008
0–8	190	21	87	46	66	35	37	19	
9–12	412	46	218	53	138	33	56	14	
≥13	294	33	175	60	92	31	27	9	
Marital status									ns
Married	635	70	343	54	210	33	82	13	
Widowed	95	11	40	42	37	39	18	19	
Separated or divorced	78	9	44	56	20	26	14	18	
Never married	93	10	56	60	30	32	7	8	
Religious observance									.004
Secular	302	34	176	58	95	31	31	10	
Traditional or observant	407	46	216	53	141	35	50	12	
Modern Orthodox	152	17	79	52	45	30	28	18	
Ultra-Orthodox	39	4	12	31	16	41	11	28	
Sufficiency of family income									ns
Sufficient	387	44	206	53	130	34	51	13	
Partly sufficient	261	29	138	53	96	37	27	10	
Insufficient	239	27	134	56	67	28	38	16	
Dysfunction as a result of psychological distress									ns
No	675	75	352	52	228	34	95	14	
Yes	227	25	132	58	69	30	26	12	
Subjective psychological distress									.009
No	832	92	438	53	285	34	109	13	
Yes	68	8	45	66	11	16	12	18	
Psychological distress ^c									ns
No	784	87	410	52	266	34	108	14	
Yes	118	13	74	63	31	26	13	11	
Diagnosis of depression									ns
No	720	80	377	52	249	35	94	13	
Yes	182	20	107	59	48	26	27	15	
Diagnosis of anxiety ^d									.024
No	732	81	377	51	254	35	101	14	
Yes	170	19	107	63	43	25	20	12	
Psychiatric diagnosis category									ns
Neither depression nor anxiety ^d	638	71	328	51	225	35	85	13	
Only anxiety ^d	82	9	49	60	24	29	9	11	
Only depression	94	10	49	52	29	31	16	17	
Both depression and anxiety ^d	88	10	58	66	19	22	11	12	

^a Proportions were compared by using chi square tests. Missing cases were as follows: place of birth, N=15; age, N=12; education, N=6; marital status, N=1; religious observance, N=2; sufficiency of family income, N=15; subjective psychological distress, N=2.

^b Western countries were defined as Europe (except the former Soviet Union), North America, and South Africa.

^c A t score of 63 or higher in the overall 18-item Brief Symptom Inventory score (Global Severity Index) indicates psychological distress.

^d Anxiety included general anxiety, panic attacks, and obsessive-compulsive disorder.

psychiatric diagnosis, and initial attitude toward treatment. All of these variables except diagnosis of depression remained significant in the logistic regression. [Additional details are available in a table in the online data supplement.]

The logistic regression indicated that the probability of changing attitudes toward specialized mental health treatment from negative or uncertain to positive after the physician's recommendation was significantly higher among patients born in the former Soviet Union or Western countries and among those with both anxiety and depression. As expected, those who were initially uncertain about mental health care tended to be significantly more affected by their physician's recommendation than those with negative attitudes.

Discussion

Studies in the Western world have shown that a large proportion of people with serious emotional problems are willing to consult a mental health professional (7,9). This study is consistent with these studies in that only a relatively small percentage (14%) of patients had a negative attitude toward using mental health services. With regard to Israel, the National Health Survey—a general population survey conducted in the same period as this study—revealed that close to half of respondents with mood-related or anxiety diagnoses had a positive attitude toward mental health treatment (2). In this study, almost 60% of participants with psychopathology had positive attitudes, as expressed by a willingness to get treatment. This difference might be explained by different populations. That is, primary care patients may have more severe mental problems than those in the general population and may be more receptive to mental health treatment.

A related finding revealed that those who subjectively perceived psychological distress were more receptive to treatment than others, even more than those with clinical diagnoses who did not perceive that they were distressed. This finding is consistent with studies showing that a major influence of help-seeking behavior is perceived need (6,11).

This study also revealed that women, respondents aged 35–64 years, and those with a higher education had more positive attitudes about treatment, a finding consistent with other studies (7,9). With regard to country of origin, immigrants from the former Soviet Union were especially hesitant to get treatment, perhaps reflecting cultural and political stigmas (12). In addition, other research showed a tendency for Soviet Jewish immigrants (13) to express mental health problems through somatic complaints and to seek help from primary care physicians.

To the best of our knowledge, the relationship between religious observance and readiness to get mental health treatment has not been examined. This study revealed that religiously observant patients were less likely than others to have positive attitudes toward treatment. This might reflect a stigma among more religious Jews (10), who prefer to seek mental health assistance from religious authorities (such as rabbis or Kabbalists).

Many patients prefer to use primary care physicians for mental health care (4). In this study, even among patients with uncertain or negative attitudes toward specialty mental health treatment, 28% were influenced positively by their physician. This is consistent with an Israeli survey that found an increase in the willingness to access mental health treatment when their physicians recommended it (14). Among patients not inclined to get mental health treatment, the physician's recommendation had greater impact on those with more severe clinical diagnoses. In regard to socio-demographic characteristics, the probability of being more influenced by the physician's recommendation was higher among patients from the former Soviet Union, who are known to respect authority figures (15).

One limitation of this survey is the absence of data concerning the actual use of mental health services, especially by those positively influenced by their physician's recommendations. It would have been preferable to also have had an analysis of Arabs; however, the small number of Arab patients precluded this possibility. Finally, the absence of objective work measure-

ments related to distress also affected this analysis.

Conclusions

A positive attitude toward treatment is a prerequisite for outpatient mental health treatment seeking. Therefore, it is important to help patients change negative or uncertain attitudes toward such treatment, especially if patients have psychiatric diagnoses. A major finding of this study is the positive impact that primary care physicians' recommendations had on reluctant patients. Therefore, encouraging physicians to discuss mental health issues would likely promote more positive attitudes and thereby increase patients' willingness to use mental health services when needed.

Acknowledgments and disclosures

This research was supported by grant 83656101 from the National Institute for Health Policy and Health Services Research.

The authors report no competing interests.

References

1. The World Health Report: Mental Health: New Understanding. New Hope. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2001
2. Levinson D, Lerner Y, Zilber N, et al: Twelve-month service utilization rates for mental health reasons: data from the Israel National Health Survey. *Israel Journal of Psychiatry and Related Sciences* 44: 114–125, 2007
3. Wang PS, Lane M, Olfson M, et al: Twelve-month use of mental health services in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 62: 629–640, 2005
4. Lerner Y, Levinson D: Who gets mental health treatment from the GP? Results from the Israel National Epidemiological Mental Health Survey. *Family Practice* 29:561–566, 2012
5. Corrigan P: How stigma interferes with mental health care. *American Psychologist* 59:614–625, 2004
6. Mojtabai R, Olfson M, Mechanic D: Perceived need and help-seeking in adults with mood, anxiety, or substance use disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 59:77–84, 2002
7. ten Have M, de Graaf R, Ormel J, et al: Are attitudes towards mental health help-seeking associated with service use? Results from the European Study of Epidemiology of Mental Disorders. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 45:153–163, 2010
8. Cwikel J, Zilber N, Feinson M, et al: Prevalence and risk factors of threshold

- and sub-threshold psychiatric disorders in primary care. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 43:184–191, 2008
9. Mojtabai R: Americans' attitudes toward mental health treatment seeking: 1990–2003. *Psychiatric Services* 58:642–651, 2007
 10. Rosen D, Greenberg D, Schmeidler J, et al: Stigma of mental illness, religious change, and explanatory models of mental illness among Jewish patients at a mental health clinic in North Jerusalem. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture* 11:193–209, 2008
 11. Codony M, Alonso J, Almansa J, et al: Perceived need for mental health care and service use among adults in Western Europe: results of the ESEMeD project. *Psychiatric Services* 60:1051–1058, 2009
 12. Brodsky B: Mental health attitudes and practices of Soviet Jewish immigrants. *Health and Social Work* 13:130–136, 1988
 13. Polyakova SA, Pacquiao DF: Psychological and mental illness among elder immigrants from the former Soviet Union. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing* 17:40–49, 2006
 14. Struch N, Shereshevsky Y, Baidani-Auerbach A, et al: Attitudes, knowledge and preferences of the Israeli public regarding mental health services. *Israel Journal of Psychiatry and Related Sciences* 45:129–140, 2008
 15. Rosenbawn JN: *Mental Health Care Needs of Soviet-Jewish Immigrants in Care, Discovery and Uses in Clinical and Community Nursing*. Edited by Leininger MM. Detroit, Mich, Wayne State University Press, 1988

***Psychiatric Services* Invites Short Descriptions of Novel Programs**

Psychiatric Services invites contributions for Frontline Reports, a column featuring short descriptions of novel approaches to mental health problems or creative applications of established concepts in different settings.

Text should be 350 to 750 words. A maximum of three authors, including the contact person, can be listed; one author is preferred. References, tables, and figures are not used. Any statements about program effectiveness must be accompanied by supporting data within the text.

Material to be considered for Frontline Reports should be sent to one of the column editors: Francine Cournos, M.D., New York State Psychiatric Institute, 1051 Riverside Dr., Unit 112, New York, NY 10032 (e-mail: fc15@columbia.edu), or Stephen M. Goldfinger, M.D., Department of Psychiatry, SUNY Downstate Medical Center, Box 1203, 450 Clarkson Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11203 (e-mail: smgoldfingermd@aol.com).