

# Length of Inpatient Stay of Persons With Serious Mental Illness: Effects of Hospital and Regional Characteristics

Sungkyu Lee, Ph.D.

Aileen B. Rothbard, Sc.D.

Elizabeth L. Noll, M.A.

**Objective:** This study examined the extent to which hospital and regional characteristics are associated with length of hospitalization among patients with serious mental illness. **Methods:** Data from the Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council and 2006 American Hospital Association data were obtained. The sample consisted of 106 hospitals from which 45,497 adults with serious mental illness were discharged in 2006. Guided by the extended version of Andersen's health care utilization model, hierarchical linear modeling, including patient case mix, hospital, and regional characteristics, was used to explain variations in hospitalization length. **Results:** The average length of stay was  $10.0 \pm 3.0$  days. Stays were longer at psychiatric hospitals than at general acute care facilities and at hospitals with a greater percentage of Medicare patients and patients with serious mental illness and a higher rate of readmission. In terms of regional characteristics, stays were also longer at hospitals in counties where the county mental health program received a larger percentage of the state's mental health budget and a smaller share of the budget was used for residential care. **Conclusions:** Hospital type and case mix, along with the presence of housing resources funded by county mental health programs, were found to be associated with variations in length of hospitalization. Further research of a longitudinal or prospective nature is required to determine whether the availability of housing programs for persons with mental disorders leads to shorter hospital stays for those in crisis and to determine whether longer stays are the result of differences in hospital practices. (*Psychiatric Services* 63:889–895, 2012; doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.2011.00412)

Length of hospitalization among persons with serious mental illness has declined (1–4). In an analysis of data from the National Hospital Discharge Survey, Mechanic and colleagues (5) found that the average length of psychiatric hospitalization declined from 12.1 to 9.6 days between 1988 and 1994. Among persons with serious mental illness, the

average length of hospitalization declined from 12.8 to 9.7 days between 1995 and 2002 (6).

Shorter hospital stays can be attributed mainly to economic factors (7,8). Because psychiatric hospitalization is the most expensive modality of care for people with serious mental illness, insurers have used a combination of gatekeeping and utilization review

techniques to shorten hospital stays. Under some managed care plans, a case-based reimbursement mechanism has generated incentives for hospitals to shorten stays.

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of patients (for example, diagnosis) appear to have little effect on length of stay (9–14). McCrone and Phelan (15) found that psychiatric diagnosis predicted only 3% of the variation in length of psychiatric hospitalization. Other studies have also shown that psychiatric diagnoses appear to have little value in predicting length of stay (8,14–17). Previous psychiatric hospitalization (18,19), suicidal ideation (20), and level of psychopathology (17,20) are also poor predictors, as are race, marital status, education, occupation, employment status, and living situation (7,14,21,22).

On the other hand, hospital characteristics have been found to be strong predictors of the length of hospital stays and other health service use among children, adolescents, and women with breast cancer (9,23). Using Medicaid claims data from 1996 to 2001, Gifford and Foster (10) found that hospital type explained 51% of variation in length of hospitalization among youths with mental or substance use disorders. Regional characteristics have also been found to predict length of hospitalization among populations without serious mental illness (24–26). Ashton and colleagues (25) found geographic differences in length of hospital stays among veterans with general medical disorders. Nguyen-Huynh and John-

---

Dr. Lee is affiliated with the College of Social Work, University of Tennessee, 1618 Cumberland Ave., Knoxville, TN 37996 (e-mail: [slee90@utk.edu](mailto:slee90@utk.edu)). Dr. Rothbard and Ms. Noll are with the School of Social Policy and Practice and the Center for Mental Health Policy and Services Research, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.

ston (24) also found regional variation in length of hospitalization for stroke among Asians and Pacific Islanders in the United States. However, little is known about the effects of hospital and regional characteristics on length of hospital stays among people with serious mental illness.

Moreover, few empirical studies have used statistical models that account for the multilevel nature of length of stay (that is, hospitals are nested within regions that differ in the availability of alternative resources). Most empirical studies explaining inpatient length of stay have used ordinary least squares (OLS) regression models (13–15). The exception is Gifford and Foster's 2008 study (10); however, their study was limited by the use of a single variable for hospital type (psychiatric hospital or general medical hospital), which did not account for a host of other hospital characteristics that may have an impact on length of stay.

This study examined the effects of hospital characteristics and county mental health funding characteristics on length of psychiatric hospitalization among persons with serious mental illness. The nature of our data allowed us to apply a multilevel modeling strategy to examine the extent to which contextual factors (for example, community mental health program funding) and hospital characteristics were associated with length of hospitalization among individuals with serious mental illness.

## Methods

Pennsylvania inpatient discharge records and 2006 data from the American Hospital Association (27) were used to identify psychiatric hospitalizations and hospital characteristics. Pennsylvania inpatient discharge data, collected from Uniform Bill–Patient Summary forms, were obtained from the Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council. Data from the 2000 U.S. Census were used to obtain county characteristics (28).

The sample consisted of 106 hospitals in 42 county mental health administrative regions in Pennsylvania. Hospital discharge records from 45,497 adults with serious mental illness—a diagnosis of schizophrenia or

major affective disorder (ICD-9 code 295 or 296)—from these regions were used to construct hospital-level measures. Hospitals classified as nonpsychiatric (for example, specialty substance abuse services) and hospitals in which the mean length of stay was greater than 60 days (for example, rehabilitative facilities) were excluded from the model.

To account for the structure of the data, whereby individuals are nested within hospitals and hospitals are nested within regions, we tested a three-level model in our preliminary analysis that included patients, hospitals, and regions. However, the model did not support the rationale for multilevel modeling; for example, we found no significant difference between groups. After finding that patient characteristics had little impact on length of hospitalization, we aggregated patient characteristics from each hospital to create a hospital unit of observation (that is, the percentage of patients with serious mental illness) and used a two-level model. The two-level model showed a significant difference between groups—that is, intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs)  $\geq .05$  (29). This study has been approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board.

## Study variables

Study variables were based on the extended version of Andersen's behavioral model of health care utilization (30–32) that was adapted to vulnerable populations (33–36). The conceptual framework specifies the role of predisposing factors (such as age and gender), enabling factors (such as income and insurance status), and need factors (such as perceived health status and diagnosis) as individual determinants of access and health care utilization. Just as important, however, are variables related to the mental health delivery system, such as resources (for example, geographical distribution of hospitals) and organizational features (for example, system structure) (37) that may mediate the length of hospitalization. Although these contextual variables have been employed extensively to explain health care use (38–42), they have

been largely neglected in studies of use of psychiatric care.

Two sets of variables were constructed: level 1 variables included hospital characteristics based on patient case mix and organizational factors, and level 2 variables included regional and county mental health program characteristics.

*Level 1: case mix.* Patient characteristics at the facility level included age, gender, race, diagnosis, admission type, insurance status, readmission rate, and percentage of patients with a serious mental illness. All categorical patient characteristics were made into dummy variables on the basis of the discharge record and aggregated to the hospital level with percentages (for example, the percentage of females). For continuous data (for example, age), an average value by hospital was calculated. Three dummy variables (white, black, and Latino) were created for race. A dichotomous variable was created indicating emergency versus nonemergency admission by aggregating admission type as emergency or urgent and admission source as either emergency department or transfer from another hospital emergency department. Four dummy variables were created for insurance status—Medicaid, Medicare, private insurance, and uninsured—on the basis of the primary payer type. The readmission rate was created on the basis of the percentage of patients who had more than one discharge record at the same hospital during the study period. The percentage of patients with serious mental illness was calculated as the ratio of psychiatric patients with serious mental illness over all psychiatric patients discharged from the hospital.

*Level 1: organization.* Organizational characteristics included hospital type, hospital size defined as total bed count, psychiatric bed count, psychiatric discharges, staffing levels, contract status with a health maintenance organization (HMO), psychiatric occupancy rate, and daily reimbursement. Hospital type was defined as general acute care hospital or specialty psychiatric hospital. Patients discharged from state hospitals, U.S. Department of Veterans

Affairs hospitals, or long-term care hospitals were excluded. All hospitals reported whether they had a formal written contract with an HMO. The psychiatric occupancy rate was the percentage of psychiatric beds used by adults with psychiatric disorders. Daily reimbursement was constructed as the total charge divided by the length of hospitalization. Full-time total staff was defined as the number of staff members working full-time at the hospital, including psychiatrists, nurses, social workers, and other professional staff. Log transformations were done for hospital bed counts, psychiatric bed counts, psychiatric discharges, daily reimbursement, and full-time hospital staff because of large variations in these variables.

*Level 2: regional and county program characteristics.* Since public mental health services in Pennsylvania are funded and administered by county program authorities, we constructed variables at the level of county mental health programs to capture relevant organizational and financial characteristics as a regional construct that might be associated with psychiatric length of stay at the hospital level. Although Pennsylvania has 67 counties, there are 44 mental health administrative programs because some counties combine their program administration for efficiency. Two programs were dropped because they had no inpatient hospital with psychiatric discharges. Thus 42 mental health programs were included in the study.

Regional and county program characteristics included community mental health expenditures (dollar expenditures per 10,000 population), which represented the total amount of outpatient funds provided to the county mental health program by Medicaid and the state office of mental health in 2006; percentage of the county mental health budget used for residential care; poverty rate, which was the percentage of residents in the county who were living below the federal poverty level; and county size, which was operationalized as the total county population. Log transformations for community mental health expenditures

and total population were conducted because of the large variation by county program.

### *Length of hospitalization*

Length of hospitalization, the dependent variable, refers to the average length of psychiatric hospitalization of patients who were hospitalized at the same hospital. Log transformation for length of hospitalization was conducted to account for positive skewness.

### *Statistical analysis*

Descriptive statistics were conducted to analyze patient, hospital, and county mental health program characteristics. To account for the multilevel structure of the data, in which hospitals (level 1) are nested within mental health program units (level 2), hierarchical linear modeling (HLM) was employed (43).

Our analytic strategy used an unconditional model, which included a level-1 random intercept, without any independent variables. This allowed us to see the variability in hospital and program units by calculating ICCs, which represent the proportion of variance in the outcome between groups (43). We then created conditional models by adding patient case mix characteristics, hospital characteristics, and program-level characteristics separately in different models. To identify predictors of length of hospitalization, fixed effects using the conditional models were employed. Considering the relatively small observed missing values on the patient level, listwise deletion was conducted. HLM Software 6.08 was used to conduct multilevel analyses.

### **Results**

Table 1 presents hospital and county mental health program characteristics. The mean length of psychiatric hospitalization was 10.0 days. The mean age of patients was 45.5 years. The 106 hospitals reported a mean percentage of female patients of 55%. Other reported means were as follows: white patients, 80%; patients with affective disorders, 75%; and admissions through the emergency department, 86%. In terms of primary payer type, the percentage of patients

with private insurance was the highest (36%), followed by Medicare (34%) and Medicaid (26%). About 17% of patients were hospitalized at the same hospital more than once during the study period.

In terms of hospital organizational characteristics, most were general acute care hospitals (87%), and most had a formal written contract with an HMO (71%). The overall psychiatric occupancy rate was 65%. In terms of regional characteristics, the percentage of the county mental health budget used for residential care was 27%, and the average percentage of persons living below the federal poverty level was 12%.

Table 2 shows predictors of length of psychiatric hospitalization from HLM models. The variables of age, race, total number of psychiatric discharges, total number of full-time personnel, and total population of the region were excluded in the model because of multicollinearity, whereas other variables that were more amenable to the policy-relevant issue of psychiatric hospitalization remained in the model. Because of the large number of variables in the study, only variables that were statistically significant predictors are presented. All random effects were statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ), indicating that there was significant variability in length of hospitalization between hospitals across county mental health programs. The proportion of variance explained in length of hospitalization that was attributable to hospital characteristics was 84%, and the proportion of variance that was attributable to county mental health program characteristics was 16%.

Among hospital-level patient characteristics, insurance status, percentage of patients readmitted to the same hospital, and percentage of patients with serious mental illness were statistically significant predictors of length of stay when the analysis did not control for hospital characteristics and county mental health program characteristics (model 1). Stays were longer at hospitals with a higher percentage of Medicare patients than at hospitals with a higher percentage of patients with private insurance and uninsured patients. Stays were also

**Table 1**

Characteristics of 106 hospitals (level 1) and 42 county mental health administrative regions (level 2)

Variable	Mean	SD	Range
Dependent variable			
Length of stay (days)	10.0	3.0	4–22
Length of stay (log transformation)	2.3	.3	1–3
Level 1: hospital characteristics			
Psychiatric case mix			
Age	46	12	18–78
Gender (mean %)			
Male	45	9	13–76
Female	55	9	24–87
Race (mean %)			
White	80	25	<1–100
Black	14	20	0–89
Latino	5	17	0–99
Primary diagnosis (mean %)			
Schizophrenia	25	12	0–77
Affective disorder	75	12	23–100
Admission type (mean %)			
Emergency	86	35	0–100
Nonemergency	15	22	0–96
Primary payer (mean %)			
Medicaid	26	18	0–72
Medicare	34	24	0–100
Private insurance	36	21	0–89
Uninsured	4	4	0–16
Readmission (to the same unit during the study) (mean %)	17	6	0–30
Patients with schizophrenia or a major affective disorder (mean %)	63	21	4–92
Organizational characteristics			
Hospital type (N and %)			
General acute care hospital	92	87	
Psychiatric hospital	14	13	
Hospital beds	271	215	13–1,492
Hospital beds (log transformation)	5	<1	3–7
Psychiatric beds only	28	34	0–277
Psychiatric beds (log transformation)	3	2	0–6
Daily reimbursement (psychiatric only) (\$)	1,326	486	479–2,465
Daily reimbursement (log transformation) (\$)	7	<1	6–8
Total full-time hospital personnel	1,356	1,680	10–12,229
Total full-time hospital personnel (log transformation)	7	1	2–9
Occupancy rate (psychiatric beds) (mean %)	65	25	0–100
Hospital discharges (psychiatric only)	620	604	5–3,406
Hospital discharges (log transformation)	6	1	2–8
Formal written contract with an HMO (N and %) <sup>a</sup>	75	71	
Level 2: regional characteristics			
Community mental health expenditure (log transformation)	14	4	0–18
Mental health budget spent on residential care (mean %)	27	19	0–73
Poverty rate (mean %)	12	4	5–24
Population (log transformation)	12	<1	11–14

<sup>a</sup> Health maintenance organization

longer at hospitals with a higher percentage of patients with serious mental illness as a proportion of all psychiatric discharges and at hospitals with a higher readmission rate. Regarding hospital-level organizational characteristics (model 2), stays at psychiatric hospitals were longer than

stays at general acute care hospitals ( $p < .01$ ).

In model 3, after the analysis controlled for hospital characteristics, stays were longer in hospitals that were located in counties where the county mental health program received a larger percentage of the

state's mental health budget and where a smaller share of the budget was used for residential care. Other significant predictors found in model 2 (primary payer, type of hospital, readmission rate, and percentage of patients with serious mental illness) remained as significant predictors in model 3 with the same directions.

## Discussion

Examining factors related to variation in length of hospitalization is particularly relevant for health care policy makers because the number of hospital days attributed to psychiatric conditions is greater than that attributed to any other medical disorder (44). In our multilevel model, we found that hospital stays were longer at psychiatric hospitals, at facilities with a greater proportion of patients with serious mental illness (need factor), and at facilities with a higher percentage of reimbursement from Medicare (enabling factor). In addition, at a county level, hospital stays were longer in areas where fewer public mental health dollars were allocated to residential care as a percentage of overall mental health funding (contextual factor).

Although we found longer stays at hospitals with a larger percentage of patients with serious mental illness, we cannot conclude that the severity of symptoms is greater for inpatients with serious mental illness than for inpatients with other mental disorders. Psychiatric patients who are admitted to a hospital likely have similar levels of symptom severity in order to meet insurance criteria for admission. An alternative explanation is that patients with serious mental illness have high rates of comorbid mental and general medical conditions, which may complicate their treatment and lengthen their stay.

The finding that stays were longer at psychiatric hospitals may be associated with practice patterns that go beyond crisis stabilization. However, we cannot draw firm conclusions because the data set that we used limits our ability to determine whether the psychiatric hospitals had treatment philosophies that were different from those of the other hospitals. Before policy recommendations can be

**Table 2**Hierarchical linear models of predictors of length of psychiatric hospitalization at 106 hospitals<sup>a</sup>

Variable	Model 1 <sup>b</sup>		Model 2 <sup>c</sup>		Model 3 <sup>d</sup>	
	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE
Level 1: hospital characteristics						
Patient case mix						
Primary payer (reference: Medicare)						
Medicaid	-.005	.003	-.005	.003	-.005	.003
Private insurance	-.008***	.001	-.008***	.001	-.009***	.001
Uninsured	-.019*	.009	-.016*	.007	-.017*	.007
Readmission rate (to same unit during the study)	.017**	.005	.020***	.004	.018***	.004
Percentage of patients with schizophrenia or major affective disorder	.004*	.001	.003*	.001	.004**	.001
Organizational characteristic: general acute care hospital (reference: psychiatric hospital)			-.274**	.100	-.266*	.105
Level 2: regional characteristics						
Community mental health expenditure (log)					.020**	.007
Percentage of mental health budget spent on residential care					-.003*	.001

<sup>a</sup> Nonsignificant variables included gender, primary diagnosis, admission type (emergency or nonemergency), daily reimbursement, occupancy rate, hospital beds (log), psychiatric beds (log), and contract with a health maintenance organization.

<sup>b</sup> Model 1 included only patient case mix.

<sup>c</sup> Model 2 added hospital characteristics to model 1.

<sup>d</sup> Model 3 added regional characteristics to model 2.

\* $p < .05$

\*\* $p < .01$

\*\*\* $p < .001$

made, further investigation is required using chart reviews and specific data collection efforts focusing on practice patterns.

An unexpected finding was that hospitals with longer stays had higher rates of readmission. Previous studies on the relationship between hospital readmission and length of stay have been inconclusive, with some studies showing higher rates of readmission to be associated with shorter stays (45–47) and others finding no relationship (48). Our finding may suggest that seriously ill patients with longer stays return to the hospital after discharge because a suitable placement is lacking. Further research on hospital practice patterns related to discharge planning and available residential community resources is warranted to validate this explanation.

For psychiatric hospitalizations, Medicare reimbursement rates are generally higher than Medicaid reimbursement rates or compensation for uninsured patients. Also, patients with psychiatric disorders who are Medicare enrollees are often seriously disabled (recipients of Social Security Disability Insurance), which may

also explain why patients with Medicare as a funding source had longer stays than those with private insurance (49,50).

An important contribution of this study is our use of a multilevel statistical model to reflect the nested structure of hospitals within county mental health administrative programs. In many states, particularly Pennsylvania, which has a county-level delivery system, mental health programs are involved in allocating mental health resources and managing state dollars. Our model allowed us to examine the relationship between public mental health expenditures on outpatient and residential care and psychiatric hospitalization in a hospital other than a state hospital. Greater expenditure on community outpatient care might be expected to reduce inpatient utilization, but this was not a finding of our study. The Dartmouth Atlas project yielded a similar result: researchers found that greater supply generated greater demand for both outpatient and inpatient care (51).

However, in examining specific resource allocations, we found shorter stays in hospitals located in regions

where a larger proportion of public-sector expenditures were allocated to residential care. Although the finding has face validity, no conclusions about causality can be drawn because we do not know whether the hospitalized patients were the ones who used the residential services. A study that links hospital discharges with admission to residential facilities would be needed. Furthermore, a comprehensive picture of residential resources, including supported housing programs subsidized by federal funds, housing programs run by local religious organizations, and housing units supported by foundations and charitable organizations, is needed to establish a more accurate relationship between residential resources and length of hospitalization.

An analytic limitation in this study is the relatively small group size (for example, the average number of hospitals per county mental health program was 2.5). Recent empirical research by Clarke (52) found that two-level models, unlike OLS models, generated unbiased estimates of the fixed effects and standard errors with one exception—a model with a very small group size ( $\leq 2$ ). Clarke (52)

demonstrated that a multilevel model with an average of five observations per group might be more reliable. Thus further studies are recommended utilizing a multilevel model with a larger group size.

## Conclusions

Using a hierarchical methodology and administrative data, this study identified significant hospital and contextual features, such as hospital type and community mental health expenditures, that were related to length of hospitalization for persons with serious mental illness. Further studies should investigate differences on hospital admission of symptom levels by diagnosis to determine whether reimbursement policies should risk-adjust for individuals with serious mental illness. Also, the extent of variation in treatment philosophy between general hospitals and specialty hospitals requires examination. Finally, the extent to which supportive and supported housing is a substitute for hospital care requires more comprehensive study.

## Acknowledgments and disclosures

The authors are grateful to Aidan Altenor, Ph.D., for his help in data acquisition and Joan Erney, J.D., for her support of the study.

The authors report no competing interests.

## References

- Hudson CG: Trends in acute psychiatric inpatient care in Massachusetts. *Psychiatric Services* 55:1302–1304, 2004
- Cheng I, Liao S, Lee M, et al: Predictors of treatment response and length of stay for inpatients with major depression. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association* 106:903–910, 2007
- Lieberman PB, Wiitala SA, Elliott B, et al: Decreasing length of stay: are there effects on outcomes of psychiatric hospitalization? *American Journal of Psychiatry* 155:905–909, 1998
- Alwan N, Johnstone P, Zolese G: Length of hospitalisation for people with severe mental illness. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1:CD000384, 2008. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000384.pub2
- Mechanic D, McAlpine DD, Olfson M: Changing patterns of psychiatric inpatient care in the United States, 1988–1994. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 55:785–791, 1998
- Watanabe-Galloway S, Zhang W: Analysis of US trends in discharges from general hospitals for episodes of serious mental illness, 1995–2002. *Psychiatric Services* 58:496–502, 2007
- Klinkenberg WD, Calsyn RJ: Predictors of psychiatric hospitalization: a multivariate analysis. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health* 25:403–410, 1998
- Creed F, Tomenson B, Anthony P, et al: Predicting length of stay in psychiatry. *Psychological Medicine* 27:961–966, 1997
- Leon SC, Snowden J, Bryant FB, et al: The hospital as predictor of children's and adolescents' length of stay. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 45:322–328, 2006
- Gifford E, Foster EM: Provider-level effects on psychiatric inpatient length of stay for youth with mental health and substance abuse disorders. *Medical Care* 46:240–246, 2008
- Pottick KJ, Hansell S, Miller JE, et al: Factors associated with inpatient length of stay for children and adolescents with serious mental illness. *Social Work Research* 23:213–225, 1999
- Leon SC, Uziel-Miller N, Lyons JS, et al: Psychiatric hospital service utilization of children and adolescents in state custody. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 38:305–310, 1999
- Chang G, Brenner L, Bryant K: Factors predicting inpatient length of stay in a CMHC. *Hospital and Community Psychiatry* 42:853–855, 1991
- Draper B, Luscombe G: Quantification of factors contributing to length of stay in an acute psychogeriatrics ward. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 13:1–7, 1998
- McCrone P, Phelan M: Diagnosis and length of psychiatric in-patient stay. *Psychological Medicine* 24:1025–1030, 1994
- Herr BE, Abraham HD, Anderson W: Length of stay in a general hospital psychiatric unit. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 13:6870, 1991
- Kirshner LA, Johnston L: Length of stay on a short-term unit. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 7:149–155, 1985
- Huntley DA, Cho DW, Christman J, et al: Predicting length of stay in an acute psychiatric hospital. *Psychiatric Services* 49:1049–1053, 1988
- Hopko DR, Lachar D, Bailey SE, et al: Assessing predictive factors for extended hospitalization at acute psychiatric admission. *Psychiatric Services* 52:1367–1373, 2001
- Cohen CI, Casimir GJ: Factors associated with increased hospital stay by elderly psychiatric patients. *Hospital and Community Psychiatry* 40:741–743, 1989
- Greene E, Cunningham CJ, Eustace A, et al: Recurrent falls are associated with increased length of stay in elderly psychiatric inpatients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 16:965–968, 2001
- Warnke I, Rössler W: Length of stay by ICD-based diagnostic groups as basis for the remuneration of psychiatric inpatient care in Switzerland? *Swiss Medical Weekly* 138:520–527, 2008
- Keating NL, Weeks JC, Laundrum MB: Discussion of treatment options for early-stage breast cancer: effects of provider specialty on type of surgery and satisfaction. *Medical Care* 39:681–691, 2001
- Nguyen-Huynh MN, Johnston SC: Regional variation in hospitalization for stroke among Asians/Pacific Islanders in the United States: a nationwide retrospective cohort study. *BioMed Central Neurology* 5:1–7, 2005
- Ashton CM, Petersen NJ, Soucek J, et al: Geographic variations in utilization rates in Veterans Affairs hospitals and clinics. *New England Journal of Medicine* 340:32–39, 1999
- Wennberg JE, Gittelsohn A: Small area variations in health care delivery. *Science* 182:1102–1108, 1973
- AHA Data and Directories, 2009. Chicago, American Hospital Association, 2009. Available at [www.aha.org/aha/resource-center/Statistics-and-Studies/data-and-directories.html](http://www.aha.org/aha/resource-center/Statistics-and-Studies/data-and-directories.html)
- Census 2000 Summary File (SF-3). Washington, DC, US Census Bureau, 2012. Available at [www.census.gov/census2000/sumfile3.html](http://www.census.gov/census2000/sumfile3.html)
- Kreft I, de Leeuw J: *Introducing Multi-level Modeling*. London, Sage, 1998
- Andersen RM: *A Behavioral Model of Families' Use of Health Services*. Chicago, Center for Health Administration Studies, 1968
- Aday LA, Andersen RM: A framework for the study of access to medical care. *Health Services Research* 9:208–220, 1974
- Andersen RM: Revisiting the behavioral model and access to medical care: does it matter? *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 36:1–10, 1995
- Lee S, Choi S: Disparities in access to health care among non-citizens in the United States. *Health Sociology Review* 18:307–320, 2009
- Gelberg L, Andersen R, Leake BD: The behavioral model for vulnerable populations: application to medical care use and outcomes for homeless people. *Health Services Research* 34:1273–1302, 2000
- Burnette D, Mui A: Physician utilization by Hispanic elderly persons. *Medical Care* 37:362–374, 1999
- Lee S, Matejkowski J: mental health service utilization among noncitizens in the United States: findings from the National Latino and Asian American Study. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, Epub ahead of print July 14, 2011
- Andersen R, Newman JF: Societal and individual determinants of medical care utilization in the United States. *Milbank Quarterly* 83:1–28, 2005

38. Phillips KA, Morrison KR, Andersen R, et al: Understanding the context of health care utilization: assessing environmental and provider-related variables in the behavioral model of utilization. *Health Services Research* 33:571–596, 1998
39. Litaker D, Koroukian SM, Love TE: Context and health care access: looking beyond the individual. *Medical Care* 43:531–540, 2005
40. Geerts J, Van den Bosch K: Transitions in formal and informal care utilisation amongst older Europeans: the impact of national contexts. *European Journal of Ageing* 9:27–37, 2012
41. Kirby JB, Kaneda T: Neighborhood socioeconomic disadvantage and access to health care. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 46:15–31, 2005
42. Sagna ML, Sunil TS: Effects of individual and neighborhood factors on maternal care in Cambodia. *Health and Place* 18:415–423, 2012
43. Raudenbush SW, Bryk AS: *Hierarchical Linear Models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods*. Thousand Oaks, Calif, Sage, 2002
44. Hall MJ, DeFrances CJ, Williams SN, et al: *National Hospital Discharge Survey: 2007 summary*. Hyattsville, Md, National Center for Health Statistics, 2010
45. Roick C, Heider D, Kilian R, et al: Factors contributing to frequent use of psychiatric inpatient services by schizophrenia patients. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 39:744–751, 2004
46. Appleby L, Desai PN, Luchins DJ, et al: Length of stay and recidivism in schizophrenia: a study of public psychiatric hospital patients. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 150:72–77, 1993
47. Hadley TR, Culhane DP, McGurrin MC: Identifying and tracking “heavy users” of acute psychiatric inpatient services. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health* 19:279–289, 1992
48. Johnstone P, Zolese G: Systematic review of the effectiveness of planned short hospital stays for mental health care. *British Medical Journal* 318:1387–1390, 1999
49. Kuntz C: *Persons With Severe Mental Illness: How Do They Fit Into Long-Term Care?* Washington, DC, US Department of Health and Human Services, 1995
50. Mechanic D: Mental health services then and now. *Health Affairs* 26:1548–1550, 2007
51. Wennberg JE, Fisher ES, Goodman DC, et al: *Tracking the Care of Patients With Severe Chronic Illness: The Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care 2008*. Lebanon, NH, Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice, 2008: Available at [www.dartmouthatlas.org/downloads/atlas/2008\\_atlas\\_exec\\_summ.pdf](http://www.dartmouthatlas.org/downloads/atlas/2008_atlas_exec_summ.pdf)
52. Clarke P: When can group level clustering be ignored? Multilevel models versus single-level models with sparse data. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 62:752–758, 2008

## ***Psychiatric Services Invites Submissions by Residents and Fellows***

TRAININGrounds is a continuing series of articles by trainees that was introduced to highlight the academic work of psychiatric residents and fellows and to encourage research by trainees in psychiatry.

Submissions should address the planning and delivery of psychiatric services in any setting, including those of special interest or concern to trainees. Submission of original research is encouraged. Literature reviews will be considered if they are mentored or coauthored by a senior scholar in the field.

Joseph M. Cerimele, MD., is the editor of this series. Prospective authors—current residents and fellows—should contact Dr. Cerimele to discuss possible submissions. He can be reached at the University of Washington School of Medicine, 1959 NE Pacific St., Box 356560, Seattle, WA 98195 (e-mail: [cerimele@uw.edu](mailto:cerimele@uw.edu)).

All TRAININGrounds submissions undergo the same rigorous peer review and editorial decision making as other submissions. Accepted papers will be highlighted in the issue in which they appear.